

# ON COMPONENTS OF SPACES OF $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ -REPRESENTATIONS OF EXTENDED QUASIFUCHSIAN GROUPS

J. HUISMAN

ABSTRACT. We study components of the space  $\mathcal{R}(G)$  of all  $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ -representations of a group  $G$  of finite presentation. We apply to the case of  $G$  being a co-compact extended quasifuchsian group of the first kind acting freely on its domain of discontinuity. It turns out that  $\mathcal{R}(G)$  can have many components. We show that only one of these components contain faithful discrete representations of  $G$ .

## 1. COMPONENTS OF $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ -REPRESENTATION SPACES

Let  $G$  be a group of finite presentation. We study the set  $\mathcal{R}(G)$  of all  $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ -representations of  $G$ , i.e., the set of all homomorphisms from  $G$  into  $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ .

The set  $\mathcal{R}(G)$  has a natural structure of an algebraic variety. Indeed, choose a finite presentation

$$G = \langle x_1, \dots, x_n \mid R_1, \dots, R_m \rangle$$

of  $G$ . Each  $R_i$  is a word in the free group generated by the symbols  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ . Hence, each  $R_i$  induces, in a natural way, a map from  $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{C})^n$  into  $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ . This map will again be denoted by  $R_i$ . Then, the map

$$f: \mathcal{R}(G) \longrightarrow \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{C})^n$$

defined by  $f(\rho) = (\rho(x_1), \dots, \rho(x_n))$  is a bijection of  $\mathcal{R}(G)$  onto the subset

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^m R_i^{-1}(\{1\})$$

of  $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{C})^n$ . Since this subset is obviously algebraic, the set  $\mathcal{R}(G)$  acquires the structure of an affine algebraic variety. Note that this structure on  $\mathcal{R}(G)$  does not depend on the presentation of  $G$ . All future references to a topology on  $\mathcal{R}(G)$  concern the Zariski topology on  $\mathcal{R}(G)$ . We are going to study certain components, i.e., certain open and closed subsets of the space  $\mathcal{R}(G)$  of  $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ -representations of  $G$ . In what follows we identify  $\mathcal{R}(G)$  with its  $f$ -image in  $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{C})^n$ .

Let  $\mu_2$  be the subgroup  $\{\pm 1\}$  of  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ . Let  $\pi$  be the natural morphism from the group  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})^n$  onto  $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{C})^n$ . The kernel of  $\pi$  is equal to  $\mu_2^n$ . Put

$$\tilde{\mathcal{R}}(G) = \pi^{-1}(\mathcal{R}(G)).$$

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 30F40.

*Key words and phrases.*  $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ -representation, extended fuchsian group, extended quasifuchsian group, component, central extension.

Typeset using Xy-pic.

I am grateful to the referee for valuable comments on a previous version of the paper. I thank B. Maskit for pointing out the paper [4] to me.

Then,  $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}(G)$  is also an affine algebraic variety. Again, all references to a topology on  $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}(G)$  concern the Zariski topology on  $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}(G)$ .

One has an induced action of  $\mu_2^n$  on  $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}(G)$  and the restriction of  $\pi$  to  $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}(G)$ , considered as a map into  $\mathcal{R}(G)$ , is a quotient map for this action. For  $\varepsilon \in \mu_2^m$ , let

$$\tilde{\mathcal{R}}(G)_\varepsilon = \bigcap_{i=1}^m R_i^{-1}(\{\varepsilon(i)\}),$$

where  $R_i$  is now considered as a map from  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})^n$  into  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ . Clearly, the subset  $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}(G)_\varepsilon$  is open and closed in  $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}(G)$ . In particular,  $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}(G)_\varepsilon$  is itself an affine algebraic variety. Note that  $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}(G)_\varepsilon$  is not necessarily nonempty or connected.

Let  $(\mu_2^m)'$  be the subset of  $\varepsilon \in \mu_2^m$  such that  $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}(G)_\varepsilon$  is nonempty. Then,  $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}(G)$  is the disjoint union of the subsets  $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}(G)_\varepsilon$ ,  $\varepsilon \in (\mu_2^m)'$ , i.e.,

$$\tilde{\mathcal{R}}(G) = \coprod_{\varepsilon \in (\mu_2^m)'} \tilde{\mathcal{R}}(G)_\varepsilon.$$

A subset  $C$  of  $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}(G)$  is called a *component* of  $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}(G)$  if there is  $\varepsilon \in (\mu_2^m)'$  such that  $C = \tilde{\mathcal{R}}(G)_\varepsilon$ . A subset  $C$  of  $\mathcal{R}(G)$  is called a *component* of  $\mathcal{R}(G)$  if  $C$  is the  $\pi$ -image of a component of  $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}(G)$ . We will show that the components of  $\mathcal{R}(G)$  do not depend on the presentation of  $G$  (Proposition 1.5). But first we need some preparation.

**Lemma 1.1.** *There is a unique action of  $\mu_2^n$  on  $(\mu_2^m)'$  such that*

$$\delta \cdot \tilde{\mathcal{R}}(G)_\varepsilon \subseteq \tilde{\mathcal{R}}(G)_{\delta \cdot \varepsilon},$$

for all  $\delta \in \mu_2^n$  and  $\varepsilon \in (\mu_2^m)'$ .

*Proof.* Uniqueness of the action is clear. In order to show existence, define, for  $i = 1, \dots, m$ , *signature morphisms*

$$\sigma_i: \mu_2^n \longrightarrow \mu_2$$

by requiring that

$$\sigma_i(\delta)R(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) = R_i(\delta(1)\alpha_1, \dots, \delta(n)\alpha_n)$$

for all  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ . Then, define a left action of  $\mu_2^n$  on  $(\mu_2^m)'$  by

$$(\delta \cdot \varepsilon)(i) = \sigma_i(\delta)\varepsilon(i)$$

for all  $i = 1, \dots, m$ .

Let  $\tilde{\rho} \in \tilde{\mathcal{R}}(G)$  and  $\delta \in \mu_2^n$ . It is clear that  $\delta \cdot \tilde{\rho}$  is in  $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}(G)_{\delta \cdot \varepsilon}$  if  $\tilde{\rho}$  is in  $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}(G)_\varepsilon$ . Hence, the action of  $\mu_2^n$  on  $(\mu_2^m)'$  induces an action of  $\mu_2^n$  on  $(\mu_2^m)'$  and this induced action has the required property.  $\square$

Let  $\sigma: \mu_2^n \longrightarrow \mu_2^m$  be the *total signature morphism*  $(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m)$ . Let  $K$  be the kernel of  $\sigma$  and let  $I$  be its image. It follows from the definition of the action of  $\mu_2^n$  on  $(\mu_2^m)'$  that  $K$  is equal to the isotropy group of any element of  $(\mu_2^m)'$ . In particular,  $K$  acts trivially on  $(\mu_2^m)'$ . Therefore, we get an induced free action of  $I$  on  $(\mu_2^m)'$  and also on  $(\mu_2^m)'/I$ .

For  $\varepsilon \in (\mu_2^m)'/I$  define  $\mathcal{R}(G)_\varepsilon$  to be the  $\pi$ -image of  $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}(G)_\varepsilon$ . The following statement is then clear.

**Proposition 1.2.** *For all  $\varepsilon \in (\mu_2^m)'/I$ , the subset  $\mathcal{R}(G)_\varepsilon$  is a component of the space  $\mathcal{R}(G)$  of  $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ -representations of  $G$ . And, for any component  $C$  of  $\mathcal{R}(G)$ , there is a unique  $\varepsilon \in (\mu_2^m)'/I$  such that  $C = \mathcal{R}(G)_\varepsilon$ . In particular,*

$$\mathcal{R}(G) = \coprod_{\varepsilon \in (\mu_2^m)'/I} \mathcal{R}(G)_\varepsilon.$$

Moreover, any component of  $\mathcal{R}(G)$  is isomorphic—as an algebraic variety—to the quotient of a component of  $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}(G)$  by the free action of  $K$  on such a component.  $\square$

*Remark 1.3.* In view of the last statement of the proposition, it would be interesting to study connectedness of the components of  $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}(G)$ ; if  $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}(G)_\varepsilon$  is connected, for some  $\varepsilon \in (\mu_2^m)'$ , then  $\mathcal{R}(G)_\varepsilon$  is connected too and there is a surjective morphism from the fundamental group of  $\mathcal{R}(G)_\varepsilon$  onto the group  $K$ . In particular, if  $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}(G)_\varepsilon$  is connected and  $K$  is not trivial, then  $\mathcal{R}(G)_\varepsilon$  is not simply connected.

Before giving some examples of components of spaces of  $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ -representations, we show that these components are intrinsically defined, i.e., do not depend on the presentation of the group.

Recall that a *central extension* of  $\mu_2$  by  $G$  is a short exact sequence  $\mathcal{E}$

$$1 \longrightarrow \mu_2 \longrightarrow \tilde{G} \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow 1$$

such that the image of  $\mu_2$  is contained in the center of  $\tilde{G}$ . Two central extensions  $\mathcal{E}$  and  $\mathcal{E}'$  of  $\mu_2$  by  $G$  are *isomorphic* if there is a morphism  $f: \tilde{G} \rightarrow \tilde{G}'$  such that its restriction to  $\mu_2$  is the identity and such that the induced morphism on  $G$  is equal to the identity too. A central extension  $\mathcal{E}$  is *trivial* if it is isomorphic to the trivial extension

$$1 \longrightarrow \mu_2 \longrightarrow \mu_2 \times G \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow 1.$$

**Example 1.4.** Let  $\rho \in \mathcal{R}(G)$  be any  $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ -representation of  $G$ . Letting  $G_\rho$  be the fiber product of  $G$  and  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$  over  $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ , one gets a central extension  $\mathcal{E}_\rho$

$$1 \longrightarrow \mu_2 \longrightarrow G_\rho \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow 1$$

of  $\mu_2$  by  $G$ .

**Proposition 1.5.** *Two elements  $\rho$  and  $\rho'$  of  $\mathcal{R}(G)$  belong to the same component if and only if the extensions  $\mathcal{E}_\rho$  and  $\mathcal{E}_{\rho'}$  are isomorphic. In particular, the components of  $\mathcal{R}(G)$  do not depend on the presentation of  $G$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\rho \in \mathcal{R}(G)$  be a representation of  $G$ . Choose  $\tilde{\rho} \in \tilde{\mathcal{R}}(G)$  such that  $\pi(\tilde{\rho}) = \rho$ . Let  $\varepsilon \in \mu_2^m$  be such that  $\tilde{\rho} \in \tilde{\mathcal{R}}(G)_\varepsilon$ . Define the group  $G_\varepsilon$  by the presentation

$$G_\varepsilon = \langle x_1, \dots, x_n, -1 \mid (-1)^2, [-1, x_i] \text{ and } \varepsilon(i)R_i \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, m \rangle.$$

Let  $q: G_\varepsilon \rightarrow G$  be the morphism defined by  $q(x_i) = x_i$ , for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , and  $q(-1) = 1$ . The morphism  $q$  is obviously surjective. The element  $\tilde{\rho}$  of  $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}(G)_\varepsilon$  can be considered, in a natural way, as a morphism from  $G_\varepsilon$  into  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ . Then, the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G_\varepsilon & \xrightarrow{q} & G \\ \tilde{\rho} \downarrow & & \downarrow \rho \\ \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C}) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{C}) \end{array}$$

is commutative. It follows that the short sequence  $\mathcal{E}_\varepsilon$

$$1 \longrightarrow \mu_2 \longrightarrow G_\varepsilon \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow 1$$

is exact. Hence,  $\mathcal{E}_\varepsilon$  is a central extension of  $\mu_2$  by  $G$ . It also follows that the morphisms  $\tilde{\rho}$  and  $q$  induce a morphism  $f$  from  $G_\varepsilon$  into the fiber product  $G_\rho$  of  $G$  and  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$  over  $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ . It then follows immediately that the central extensions  $\mathcal{E}_\varepsilon$  and  $\mathcal{E}_\rho$  are isomorphic.

Now, suppose that  $\rho$  and  $\rho'$  belong to the same component, say  $\mathcal{R}(G)_\varepsilon$ , of  $\mathcal{R}(G)$ . It follows from the statement above that the extensions  $\mathcal{E}_\rho$  and  $\mathcal{E}_{\rho'}$  are both isomorphic to  $\mathcal{E}_\varepsilon$ . In particular, the extensions  $\mathcal{E}_\rho$  and  $\mathcal{E}_{\rho'}$  are isomorphic.

Let  $\varepsilon$  and  $\varepsilon'$  be two elements of  $\mu_2^n$ . Observe that the two central extensions  $\mathcal{E}_\varepsilon$  and  $\mathcal{E}_{\varepsilon'}$  are isomorphic if and only if there is  $\delta \in \mu_2^n$  such that  $\delta \cdot \varepsilon = \varepsilon'$ .

Now, suppose that  $\rho$  and  $\rho'$  are elements of  $\mathcal{R}(G)$  such that the extensions  $\mathcal{E}_\rho$  and  $\mathcal{E}_{\rho'}$  are isomorphic. Let  $\varepsilon$  and  $\varepsilon'$  be elements of  $\mu_2^n$  such that  $\rho$  and  $\rho'$  belong to  $\mathcal{R}(G)_\varepsilon$  and  $\mathcal{R}(G)_{\varepsilon'}$  respectively. It follows from the statement above that the extensions  $\mathcal{E}_\varepsilon$  and  $\mathcal{E}_{\varepsilon'}$  are isomorphic. By the observation above, there is  $\delta \in \mu_2^n$  such that  $\delta \cdot \varepsilon = \varepsilon'$ . Therefore,  $\mathcal{R}(G)_\varepsilon = \mathcal{R}(G)_{\varepsilon'}$ .  $\square$

The next examples do not only illustrate the results of this section but will also be useful in the next section.

**Example 1.6.** Let  $G$  be the fundamental group of a compact Riemann surface of genus  $s \geq 1$ . Taking a standard presentation of  $G$ ,  $n = 2s$ ,  $m = 1$  and the group  $\mu_2^n$  acts trivially on  $\mu_2^m$ . Since the commutator map

$$[\cdot, \cdot]: \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C}) \times \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$$

is surjective, both subsets  $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}(G)_1$  and  $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}(G)_{-1}$  are nonempty. Hence,  $\mathcal{R}(G)$  has 2 components  $\mathcal{R}(G)_1$  and  $\mathcal{R}(G)_{-1}$ .

It is interesting to observe that the component  $\mathcal{R}(G)_1$  is the only one containing discrete representations of  $G$ . Indeed, let  $\rho: G \rightarrow \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{C})$  be a discrete representation of  $G$ . Since the group  $G$  has no 2-torsion,  $\rho$  lifts to  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$  [1], or, to put it differently, the central extension  $\mathcal{E}_\rho$  is trivial. Therefore,  $\rho \in \mathcal{R}(G)_1$ . It follows that  $\mathcal{R}(G)_{-1}$  does not contain any discrete representation of  $G$ .

**Example 1.7.** Let  $\tilde{r} \geq 1$ . Let  $G$  be the group given by the presentation

$$G = \langle x_1, \dots, x_{\tilde{r}} \mid x_1^2 \cdots x_{\tilde{r}}^2 \rangle.$$

This group is of particular interest since it has a faithful co-compact representation as an extended Fuchsian group acting freely on its domain of discontinuity if  $\tilde{r} \geq 3$  (see the next section). For the given presentation of  $G$ , one has  $n = \tilde{r}$  and  $m = 1$  and the action of  $\mu_2^n$  on  $\mu_2^m$  is trivial. Since the square map

$$\mathrm{sq}: \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$$

is surjective, both subsets  $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}(G)_1$  and  $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}(G)_{-1}$  are nonempty. Hence, the space  $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}(G)$  has 2 components  $\mathcal{R}(G)_1$  and  $\mathcal{R}(G)_{-1}$ .

Using [1] again, one can prove that the component  $\mathcal{R}(G)_{-1}$  of  $\mathcal{R}(G)$  does not contain any discrete  $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ -representation of  $G$  if  $\tilde{r} \geq 2$ .

2. EXTENDED QUASIFUCHSIAN GROUPS AND THEIR  $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ -REPRESENTATIONS

Recall that a subgroup of  $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{C})$  is called an *extended Fuchsian group* if it is not Fuchsian but does preserve a Euclidean circle in  $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$  [4]. It is clear that such a group contains a unique Fuchsian subgroup of index 2. An extended Fuchsian group is said to be of the *first kind* if its Fuchsian subgroup of index 2 is so; otherwise, it is said to be of the *second kind*. A subgroup of  $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{C})$  that is a quasiconformal deformation of an extended Fuchsian group is called an *extended quasifuchsian group*. Such a group is of the *first kind* if it is a quasiconformal deformation of an extended Fuchsian group of the first kind; otherwise, it is of the *second kind*. It is the class of extended quasifuchsian groups of the first kind that will have our attention.

Let us fix the following notation. Let  $r$ ,  $\tilde{r}$  and  $s$  be natural integers. Denote by  $G_{r,\tilde{r},s}$  the group given by the presentation

$$(1) \quad \langle x_1, \dots, x_{2r+\tilde{r}+2s} \mid R_1, \dots, R_{2r+1} \rangle,$$

where

$$R_{2i-1} = [x_{2i-1}, x_{2i}] \quad \text{and} \quad R_{2i} = x_{2i}^2,$$

for  $i = 1, \dots, r$ , and

$$R_{2r+1} = x_1 x_3 \cdots x_{2r-1} x_{2r+1}^2 x_{2r+2}^2 \cdots x_{2r+\tilde{r}}^2 \cdot [x_{2r+\tilde{r}+1}, x_{2r+\tilde{r}+2}] \cdots [x_{2r+\tilde{r}+2s-1}, x_{2r+\tilde{r}+2s}].$$

**Example 2.1.** Observe that  $G_{0,0,s}$ , with  $s \geq 1$ , is the group studied in Example 1.6, and that  $G_{0,\tilde{r},0}$ , with  $\tilde{r} \geq 3$ , is the group studied in Example 1.7.

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $G$  be a co-compact extended quasifuchsian group of the first kind acting freely on its domain of discontinuity. Then, there are natural integers  $r$ ,  $\tilde{r}$  and  $s$  such that  $G$  is isomorphic to the group  $G_{r,\tilde{r},s}$ . Moreover, one necessarily has  $r + \tilde{r} + 2s \geq 3$  and  $r + \tilde{r} \neq 0$ .*

*Conversely, let  $r$ ,  $\tilde{r}$  and  $s$  be natural integers such that  $r + \tilde{r} + 2s \geq 3$  and  $r + \tilde{r} \neq 0$ . Then, there is a faithful co-compact representation  $\rho: G_{r,\tilde{r},s} \rightarrow \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{C})$  such that  $\rho(G_{r,\tilde{r},s})$  is an extended quasifuchsian group of the first kind acting freely on its domain of discontinuity.*

Although it does not explicitly contain the above statement, one is referred to the paper [2] for a proof.

**Remark 2.3.** Let  $G$  be a co-compact extended quasifuchsian group of the first kind acting freely on its domain of discontinuity  $\Omega$ . Let  $r$ ,  $\tilde{r}$  and  $s$  be natural integers such that  $G_{r,\tilde{r},s} \cong G$ . The integers  $r$ ,  $\tilde{r}$  and  $s$  have the following geometric interpretation.

The compact Riemann surface  $X = \Omega/G$  comes equipped with an anti-quasiconformal involution  $\zeta$ . The quotient  $S = X/\langle \zeta \rangle$  is homeomorphic to the connected sum of  $\tilde{r}$  projective planes and a compact oriented surface of genus  $s$  with  $r$  connected boundary components. Note that, according to the classification of such surfaces, the integers  $r$ ,  $\tilde{r}$  and  $s$  are not uniquely determined by the group  $G$  (see also Remark 2.4). The condition  $r + \tilde{r} \neq 0$  is equivalent to  $S$  not being a closed orientable surface. The genus  $g$  of  $X$  is easily seen to be equal to  $r + \tilde{r} + 2s - 1$ . Therefore, the condition  $r + \tilde{r} + 2s \geq 3$  is equivalent to  $g \geq 2$ .

The group  $G_{r,\tilde{r},s}$  has the following geometric interpretation. Any topological surface with boundary can be considered in a natural way as a  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -orbifold by giving

the points of the interior trivial isotropy groups and the points in the boundary non-trivial isotropy groups. Then, the group  $G_{r,\tilde{r},s}$  is isomorphic to the fundamental group of  $S$ , considered as a  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -orbifold. Or, to put it in the terminology of [2], the group  $G_{r,\tilde{r},s}$  is isomorphic to the  $\langle \zeta \rangle$ -equivariant fundamental group of  $X$ .

*Remark 2.4.* We should note that the groups  $G_{r,\tilde{r},s}$  are not mutually nonisomorphic. More precisely, let  $r, r', \tilde{r}, \tilde{r}', s, s'$  be natural integers. Let  $g = r + \tilde{r} + 2s - 1$  and  $g' = r' + \tilde{r}' + 2s' - 1$ . We state under what conditions the groups  $G_{r,\tilde{r},s}$  and  $G_{r',\tilde{r}',s'}$  are isomorphic.

Firstly, note that the group  $G_{r,\tilde{r},s}$  is finite if and only if  $g = -1$  or  $g = 0$ , and in that case  $G_{r,\tilde{r},s}$  is isomorphic to the group 0 or  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ , respectively. Therefore, we may assume, as far as classification is concerned, that  $g$  and  $g'$  are both strictly positive. Then, the groups  $G_{r,\tilde{r},s}$  and  $G_{r',\tilde{r}',s'}$  are isomorphic if and only if the following three conditions hold.

1.  $g = g'$ ,
2.  $r = r'$ ,
3.  $\tilde{r} = 0 = \tilde{r}'$  or  $\tilde{r} \neq 0 \neq \tilde{r}'$ .

As a consequence, the group  $G_{r,\tilde{r},s}$  is isomorphic to the group  $G_{r,\tilde{r}+2s,0}$  if  $\tilde{r} \neq 0$ . In particular, when studying the groups  $G_{r,\tilde{r},s}$ , one may assume that either  $\tilde{r} = 0$  or  $s = 0$ .

We refer to [3] for further details.

We will now study the space  $\mathcal{R}(G)$  of  $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ -representations of  $G = G_{r,\tilde{r},s}$ , for all natural integers  $r, \tilde{r}$  and  $s$ . We may assume that  $G$  is not the trivial group, i.e., that not all integers  $r, \tilde{r}$  and  $s$  are zero.

Using the presentation (1) of  $G$  and with the same notation as in Section 1, we have

$$n = 2r + \tilde{r} + 2s \text{ and } m = 2r + 1.$$

For  $\delta \in \mu_2^n$  one has

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{2i-1}(\delta) &= 1 \text{ and } \sigma_{2i}(\delta) = 1 \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, r, \text{ and} \\ \sigma_{2r+1}(\delta) &= \prod_{i=1}^r \delta(2i-1). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the total signature map  $\sigma: \mu_2^n \rightarrow \mu_2^m$  is trivial if  $r = 0$ . If  $r \neq 0$ , the total signature map has its kernel  $K$  of index 2 in  $\mu_2^n$  and its image  $I$  equal to the subgroup  $\{1\}^{m-1} \times \mu_2^1$  of  $\mu_2^m$ .

In order to determine the components of  $\mathcal{R}(G)$  we need some preparation.

The proof of the following statement is easy and is left to the reader.

**Lemma 2.5.** *Let  $\alpha \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ .*

1. *There is a  $\beta \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$  such that  $[\alpha, \beta] = 1$  and  $\beta^2 = 1$ .*
2. *There is no  $\beta \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$  such that  $[\alpha, \beta] = -1$  and  $\beta^2 = 1$ .*
3. *There is a  $\beta \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$  such that  $[\alpha, \beta] = 1$  and  $\beta^2 = -1$  if and only if  $\alpha$  is not parabolic.*
4. *There is a  $\beta \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$  such that  $[\alpha, \beta] = -1$  and  $\beta^2 = -1$  if and only if  $\alpha^2 = -1$ .  $\square$*

Let  $T \subseteq \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$  be the subset defined by

$$T = \{\alpha \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C}) \mid \alpha^2 = -1\} = \{\alpha \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C}) \mid \mathrm{tr}(\alpha) = 0\}.$$

It is easy to check that  $T \cdot T = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ . The following statement then easily follows.

**Lemma 2.6.** *Let  $r \geq 2$ . Then,  $T^r = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ .  $\square$*

**Theorem 2.7.** *Let  $G$  be the group  $G_{r, \tilde{r}, s}$ , where  $r, \tilde{r}$  and  $s$  are natural integers, not all equal to zero. Let  $\varepsilon \in \mu_2^m$ . Then the following statements hold.*

1. *If  $(\varepsilon(2i-1), \varepsilon(2i)) = (-1, 1)$  for some  $i$ , with  $1 \leq i \leq r$ , then the subset  $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}(G)_\varepsilon$  of  $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}(G)$  is empty.*
2. *Suppose that  $r \neq 0$  and  $(r, \tilde{r}, s) \neq (1, 0, 0)$ . The converse of 1 holds, i.e., if  $(\varepsilon(2i-1), \varepsilon(2i)) \neq (-1, 1)$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, r$ , then the subset  $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}(G)_\varepsilon$  of  $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}(G)$  is not empty.*
3. *Suppose that  $r = 0$ . Then, the subset  $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}(G)_\varepsilon$  of  $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}(G)$  is not empty.*
4. *Suppose that  $(r, \tilde{r}, s) = (1, 0, 0)$ . Then, the subset  $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}(G)_\varepsilon$  is not empty if and only if  $\varepsilon(1) = 1$ .*

*Proof.* Statement 1 follows immediately from Lemma 2.5.2. Statement 3 can be shown as in Examples 1.6 and 1.7. Or, if one admits Remark 2.4, one may assume that either  $\tilde{r} = 0$  or  $s = 0$ , and then Statement 3 actually follows from Examples 1.6 and 1.7.

In order to show Statement 4, observe that the group  $G_{1,0,0}$  is the group given by the presentation  $\langle x_1, x_2 \mid [x_1, x_2], x_2^2, x_1 \rangle$ . It is then clear that  $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}(G)_\varepsilon$  is empty if  $\varepsilon(1) = -1$ , and that  $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}(G)_\varepsilon$  is not empty if  $\varepsilon(1) = 1$ .

Now, we show Statement 2. Suppose that  $(\varepsilon(2i-1), \varepsilon(2i)) \neq (-1, 1)$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, r$ . Denote an element of  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})^{2r+\tilde{r}+2s}$  by  $X = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{2r+\tilde{r}+2s})$ . We have to show that there is an  $X \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$  that belongs to  $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}(G)_\varepsilon$ .

Suppose first that  $\tilde{r} > 0$  or  $s > 0$ . By Lemma 2.5, one can choose  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{2r} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$  such that

$$[\alpha_{2i-1}, \alpha_{2i}] = \varepsilon(2i-1) \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_{2i}^2 = \varepsilon(2i),$$

for all  $i = 1, \dots, r$ . Since the square map

$$\mathrm{sq}: \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$$

and the commutator map

$$[\cdot, \cdot]: \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C}) \times \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$$

are surjective and since  $\tilde{r} > 0$  or  $s > 0$ , there are  $\alpha_{2r+1}, \dots, \alpha_{2r+\tilde{r}+2s} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$  such that  $R_{2r+1}(X) = \varepsilon(2r+1)$ . But then  $X \in \tilde{\mathcal{R}}(G)_\varepsilon$ .

Finally, suppose that  $\tilde{r} = s = 0$ . By hypothesis,  $r \geq 2$ . By Lemma 2.6, there are  $\alpha_1, \alpha_3, \dots, \alpha_{2r-1} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$  such that  $\alpha_{2i-1}^2 = -1$ , for  $i = 1, \dots, r$ , and  $\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_{2r-1} = \varepsilon(2r+1)$ . Note that the elements  $\alpha_{2i-1}$  are, in particular, non-parabolic. Then, by Lemma 2.5, there are  $\alpha_2, \alpha_4, \dots, \alpha_{2r} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$  such that  $X$  belongs to  $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}(G)_\varepsilon$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.8.** *Let  $G$  be the group  $G_{r, \tilde{r}, s}$ , where  $r, \tilde{r}$  and  $s$  are natural integers.*

1. *If  $(r, \tilde{r}, s) = (0, 0, 0)$  then the space  $\mathcal{R}(G)$  of  $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ -representations of  $G$  has 1 component.*
2. *If  $r = 0$  or  $(r, \tilde{r}, s) = (1, 0, 0)$  then the space  $\mathcal{R}(G)$  of  $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ -representations of  $G$  has 2 components.*
3. *Otherwise, i.e., if  $r \neq 0$  and  $(r, \tilde{r}, s) \neq (1, 0, 0)$ , the space  $\mathcal{R}(G)$  of  $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ -representations of  $G$  has  $3^r$  components.*

*Proof.* The first statement is trivial since the group  $G$  is trivial if  $(r, \tilde{r}, s) = (0, 0, 0)$ . Therefore, suppose that  $(r, \tilde{r}, s) \neq (0, 0, 0)$ . By Theorem 2.7, the space  $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}(G)$  has 2 components if  $r = 0$ , 4 components if  $(r, \tilde{r}, s) = (1, 0, 0)$  and  $2 \cdot 3^r$  components otherwise. By the discussion above, the group  $I \cong \mu_2$  acts trivially on  $(\mu_2^m)'$  if  $r = 0$  and nontrivially if  $r \neq 0$ . The second and third statement now follow from Proposition 1.2.  $\square$

According to Theorem 2.8, the representation space  $\mathcal{R}(G_{r, \tilde{r}, s})$  can have many components. The following statement asserts that one of these components contain all faithful discrete representations.

**Theorem 2.9.** *Let  $G$  be the group  $G_{r, \tilde{r}, s}$ , where  $r, \tilde{r}$  and  $s$  are natural integers. There is a unique component  $\mathcal{R}_{\text{fid}}(G)$  of  $\mathcal{R}(G)$  such that all faithful discrete representations  $\rho \in \mathcal{R}(G)$  belong to  $\mathcal{R}_{\text{fid}}(G)$ .*

*Proof.* If  $G$  is trivial, then the statement is trivial. If  $(r, \tilde{r}, s) = (0, 1, 0)$  then the statement is easy to verify. Therefore, suppose  $(r, \tilde{r}, s) \neq (0, 0, 0)$  and  $(0, 1, 0)$ .

If  $r = 0$ , the statement can be proven as in Examples 1.6 and 1.7. Or again, by Remark 2.4, one may assume that either  $\tilde{r} = 0$  or  $s = 0$ , and then the statement of the proposition actually follows from Examples 1.6 and 1.7.

If  $(r, \tilde{r}, s) = (1, 0, 0)$ , then  $G$  is isomorphic to the group  $G_{0,1,0}$  which has already been treated. Hence, we may assume, moreover, that  $r \neq 0$  and  $(r, \tilde{r}, s) \neq (1, 0, 0)$ .

Let  $\rho \in \mathcal{R}(G)$  be faithful (and discrete). Choose  $\varepsilon \in (\mu_2^m)'$  such that  $\rho \in \mathcal{R}(G)_\varepsilon$ . We show that  $\varepsilon(2i - 1) = 1$  and  $\varepsilon(2i) = -1$ , for  $i = 1, \dots, r$ . Since  $r \neq 0$ , all such  $\varepsilon$  are in the same orbit for the action of  $\mu_2^n$  on  $(\mu_2^m)'$ . Therefore, the statement will be proven.

Choose  $\tilde{\rho} \in \tilde{\mathcal{R}}(G)$  such that  $\pi(\tilde{\rho}) = \rho$ . Write  $\tilde{\rho} = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{2r+\tilde{r}+2s})$ . Since  $\rho$  is faithful and  $\rho(x_{2i})$  is of order 2, one has  $\alpha_{2i}^2 = -1$ , i.e.,  $\varepsilon(2i) = -1$ , for  $i = 1, \dots, r$ . Now, since  $\alpha_{2i-1}$  cannot have finite order,  $[\alpha_{2i-1}, \alpha_{2i}] = 1$  by Lemma 2.5. It follows that  $\varepsilon(2i - 1) = 1$ , for  $i = 1, \dots, r$ .  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] Culler, M. : Lifting representations to covering groups. *Adv. Math.* 59 (1986), 64–70
- [2] Huisman J. : The equivariant fundamental group, uniformization of real algebraic curves, and global complex analytic coordinates on Teichmüller spaces I & II. (submitted)
- [3] Huisman, J. : Classification of co-compact extended Fuchsian groups of the first kind that act freely on their domain of discontinuity. (submitted)
- [4] Maskit, B. : On extended quasifuchsian groups. *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn., Ser. A, I Math.*, 15 (1990), 53–64

INSTITUT MATHÉMATIQUE, UNIVERSITÉ DE RENNES 1, CAMPUS DE BEAULIEU, 35042 RENNES CEDEX, FRANCE

*E-mail address:* `huisman@univ-rennes1.fr`