

A fundamental inequality for holomorphic curves into projective varieties

Min Ru

Department of Mathematics
University of Houston
minru@math.uh.edu

Talk at “Effective Aspects of Complex Hyperbolic Varieties”, Aber
Wrac’h, France, September 10-14, 2007

1. Cartan's Second Main Theorem

1. Cartan's Second Main Theorem

Let $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ be a holomorphic map.

1. Cartan's Second Main Theorem

Let $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ be a holomorphic map. The characteristic(height) function $T_f(r)$ is defined by

1. Cartan's Second Main Theorem

Let $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ be a holomorphic map. The **characteristic(height) function** $T_f(r)$ is defined by

$$T_f(r) = \int_{r_0}^r \frac{dt}{t} \int_{|z| \leq t} f^* \omega_{FS} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log ||\tilde{f}(re^{i\theta})|| d\theta + O(1)$$

1. Cartan's Second Main Theorem

Let $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ be a holomorphic map. The **characteristic(height) function** $T_f(r)$ is defined by

$$T_f(r) = \int_{r_0}^r \frac{dt}{t} \int_{|z| \leq t} f^* \omega_{FS} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log ||\tilde{f}(re^{i\theta})|| d\theta + O(1)$$

where $\tilde{f} = (f_0, \dots, f_n)$ is a reduced representative of f ,

1. Cartan's Second Main Theorem

Let $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ be a holomorphic map. The **characteristic(height) function** $T_f(r)$ is defined by

$$T_f(r) = \int_{r_0}^r \frac{dt}{t} \int_{|z| \leq t} f^* \omega_{FS} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log \|\tilde{f}(re^{i\theta})\| d\theta + O(1)$$

where $\tilde{f} = (f_0, \dots, f_n)$ is a reduced representative of f , and $\|\tilde{f}(z)\| = \max\{|f_0(z)|, \dots, |f_n(z)|\}$.

1. Cartan's Second Main Theorem

Let $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ be a holomorphic map. The **characteristic(height) function** $T_f(r)$ is defined by

$$T_f(r) = \int_{r_0}^r \frac{dt}{t} \int_{|z| \leq t} f^* \omega_{FS} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log \|\tilde{f}(re^{i\theta})\| d\theta + O(1)$$

where $\tilde{f} = (f_0, \dots, f_n)$ is a reduced representative of f , and $\|\tilde{f}(z)\| = \max\{|f_0(z)|, \dots, |f_n(z)|\}$.

Let D be a hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ of degree d defined by polynomial Q .

1. Cartan's Second Main Theorem

Let $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ be a holomorphic map. The **characteristic(height) function** $T_f(r)$ is defined by

$$T_f(r) = \int_{r_0}^r \frac{dt}{t} \int_{|z| \leq t} f^* \omega_{FS} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log \|\tilde{f}(re^{i\theta})\| d\theta + O(1)$$

where $\tilde{f} = (f_0, \dots, f_n)$ is a reduced representative of f , and $\|\tilde{f}(z)\| = \max\{|f_0(z)|, \dots, |f_n(z)|\}$.

Let D be a hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ of degree d defined by polynomial Q . The **proximity function** $m_f(r, D)$ is defined by

1. Cartan's Second Main Theorem

Let $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ be a holomorphic map. The **characteristic(height) function** $T_f(r)$ is defined by

$$T_f(r) = \int_{r_0}^r \frac{dt}{t} \int_{|z| \leq t} f^* \omega_{FS} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log \|\tilde{f}(re^{i\theta})\| d\theta + O(1)$$

where $\tilde{f} = (f_0, \dots, f_n)$ is a reduced representative of f , and $\|\tilde{f}(z)\| = \max\{|f_0(z)|, \dots, |f_n(z)|\}$.

Let D be a hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ of degree d defined by polynomial Q . The **proximity function** $m_f(r, D)$ is defined by

$$m_f(r, D) = \int_0^{2\pi} \log \frac{\|\tilde{f}(re^{i\theta})\|^d \|Q\|}{|Q(\tilde{f})(re^{i\theta})|} \frac{d\theta}{2\pi}.$$

Define the counting function

Define the **counting function**

$$N_f(r, D) = \int_{r_0}^r \frac{n_f(t, D)}{t} dt.$$

Define the **counting function**

$$N_f(r, D) = \int_{r_0}^r \frac{n_f(t, D)}{t} dt.$$

Then the First Main Theorem implies that

$$T_f(r) = m_f(r, D) + N_f(r, D) + O(1).$$

Cartan's Second Main Theorem (1933).

Cartan's Second Main Theorem (1933). Let $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ be a linearly non-degenerate holomorphic map.

Cartan's Second Main Theorem (1933). Let $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ be a linearly non-degenerate holomorphic map. Let H_1, \dots, H_q be hyperplanes in general position in $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$.

Cartan's Second Main Theorem (1933). Let $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ be a linearly non-degenerate holomorphic map. Let H_1, \dots, H_q be hyperplanes in general position in $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$. Then, for any $\epsilon > 0$,

Cartan's Second Main Theorem (1933). Let $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ be a linearly non-degenerate holomorphic map. Let H_1, \dots, H_q be hyperplanes in general position in $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$. Then, for any $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\sum_{j=1}^q m_f(r, H_j) \leq (n + 1 + \epsilon) T_f(r).$$

Cartan's Second Main Theorem (1933). Let $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ be a linearly non-degenerate holomorphic map. Let H_1, \dots, H_q be hyperplanes in general position in $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$. Then, for any $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\sum_{j=1}^q m_f(r, H_j) \leq (n + 1 + \epsilon) T_f(r).$$

It implies that $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^{n+2} H_j$, where H_1, \dots, H_{n+2} are in general position, is linearly degenerate.

Cartan's Second Main Theorem (1933). Let $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ be a linearly non-degenerate holomorphic map. Let H_1, \dots, H_q be hyperplanes in general position in $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$. Then, for any $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\sum_{j=1}^q m_f(r, H_j) \leq (n + 1 + \epsilon) T_f(r).$$

It implies that $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^{n+2} H_j$, where H_1, \dots, H_{n+2} are in general position, is linearly degenerate.

General Cartan's Theorem.

General Cartan's Theorem. Let $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ be a non-degenerate holomorphic curve.

General Cartan's Theorem. Let $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ be a non-degenerate holomorphic curve. Let H_1, \dots, H_q (or L_1, \dots, L_q) be **arbitrary** hyperplanes in $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$.

General Cartan's Theorem. Let $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ be a non-degenerate holomorphic curve. Let H_1, \dots, H_q (or L_1, \dots, L_q) be **arbitrary** hyperplanes in $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$. Then, for every $\epsilon > 0$,

General Cartan's Theorem. Let $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ be a non-degenerate holomorphic curve. Let H_1, \dots, H_q (or L_1, \dots, L_q) be **arbitrary** hyperplanes in $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$. Then, for every $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \max_K \log \prod_{j \in K} \frac{\|f(re^{i\theta})\| \|L_j\|}{|L_j(f)(re^{i\theta})|} \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \leq (n+1+\epsilon)T_f(r),$$

General Cartan's Theorem. Let $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ be a non-degenerate holomorphic curve. Let H_1, \dots, H_q (or L_1, \dots, L_q) be **arbitrary** hyperplanes in $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$. Then, for every $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \max_K \log \prod_{j \in K} \frac{\|f(re^{i\theta})\| \|L_j\|}{|L_j(f)(re^{i\theta})|} \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \leq (n+1+\epsilon) T_f(r),$$

where the maximum is taken over all $K \subset \{1, \dots, q\}$ such that the linear forms $L_j, j \in K$, are linearly independent.

General Cartan's Theorem. Let $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ be a non-degenerate holomorphic curve. Let H_1, \dots, H_q (or L_1, \dots, L_q) be **arbitrary** hyperplanes in $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$. Then, for every $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \max_K \log \prod_{j \in K} \frac{\|f(re^{i\theta})\| \|L_j\|}{|L_j(f)(re^{i\theta})|} \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \leq (n+1+\epsilon)T_f(r),$$

where the maximum is taken over all $K \subset \{1, \dots, q\}$ such that the linear forms $L_j, j \in K$, are linearly independent.

Remark:

General Cartan's Theorem. Let $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ be a non-degenerate holomorphic curve. Let H_1, \dots, H_q (or L_1, \dots, L_q) be **arbitrary** hyperplanes in $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$. Then, for every $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \max_K \log \prod_{j \in K} \frac{\|f(re^{i\theta})\| \|L_j\|}{|L_j(f)(re^{i\theta})|} \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \leq (n+1+\epsilon)T_f(r),$$

where the maximum is taken over all $K \subset \{1, \dots, q\}$ such that the linear forms $L_j, j \in K$, are linearly independent.

Remark: By considering $\phi : \mathbb{P}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{q-1}$ defined $\phi(x) = [L_1(x) : \dots : L_q(x)]$, we can assume that H_1, \dots, H_q are coordinate hyperplanes.

2. A fundamental Inequality

2. A fundamental Inequality

Main Theorem

2. A fundamental Inequality

Main Theorem Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$ be a smooth complex projective variety, $\dim(X) = n$, $\deg(X) = d$.

2. A fundamental Inequality

Main Theorem Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$ be a smooth complex projective variety, $\dim(X) = n$, $\deg(X) = d$. Let $f = (f_0, \dots, f_N) : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow X$

2. A fundamental Inequality

Main Theorem Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$ be a smooth complex projective variety, $\dim(X) = n$, $\deg(X) = d$. Let $f = (f_0, \dots, f_N) : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow X$ be a Zariski dense holomorphic map.

2. A fundamental Inequality

Main Theorem Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$ be a smooth complex projective variety, $\dim(X) = n$, $\deg(X) = d$. Let $f = (f_0, \dots, f_N) : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow X$ be a Zariski dense holomorphic map. Define, for $z \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$c_j(z) = \log \frac{\|f(z)\|}{|f_j(z)|}, \quad 0 \leq j \leq N,$$

2. A fundamental Inequality

Main Theorem Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$ be a smooth complex projective variety, $\dim(X) = n$, $\deg(X) = d$. Let $f = (f_0, \dots, f_N) : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow X$ be a Zariski dense holomorphic map. Define, for $z \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$c_j(z) = \log \frac{\|f(z)\|}{|f_j(z)|}, \quad 0 \leq j \leq N,$$

and let $\mathbf{c}(z) = (c_0(z), \dots, c_N(z))$.

2. A fundamental Inequality

Main Theorem Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$ be a smooth complex projective variety, $\dim(X) = n$, $\deg(X) = d$. Let $f = (f_0, \dots, f_N) : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow X$ be a Zariski dense holomorphic map. Define, for $z \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$c_j(z) = \log \frac{\|f(z)\|}{|f_j(z)|}, \quad 0 \leq j \leq N,$$

and let $\mathbf{c}(z) = (c_0(z), \dots, c_N(z))$. Then, for every $\epsilon > 0$,

2. A fundamental Inequality

Main Theorem Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$ be a smooth complex projective variety, $\dim(X) = n$, $\deg(X) = d$. Let $f = (f_0, \dots, f_N) : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow X$ be a Zariski dense holomorphic map. Define, for $z \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$c_j(z) = \log \frac{\|f(z)\|}{|f_j(z)|}, \quad 0 \leq j \leq N,$$

and let $\mathbf{c}(z) = (c_0(z), \dots, c_N(z))$. Then, for every $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\frac{1}{d(n+1)} \int_0^{2\pi} e_X(\mathbf{c}(re^{i\theta})) \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \leq (1 + \epsilon) T_f(r),$$

2. A fundamental Inequality

Main Theorem Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$ be a smooth complex projective variety, $\dim(X) = n$, $\deg(X) = d$. Let $f = (f_0, \dots, f_N) : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow X$ be a Zariski dense holomorphic map. Define, for $z \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$c_j(z) = \log \frac{\|f(z)\|}{|f_j(z)|}, \quad 0 \leq j \leq N,$$

and let $\mathbf{c}(z) = (c_0(z), \dots, c_N(z))$. Then, for every $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\frac{1}{d(n+1)} \int_0^{2\pi} e_X(\mathbf{c}(re^{i\theta})) \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \leq (1 + \epsilon) T_f(r),$$

where $e_X(\mathbf{c})$ is the Chow weight of X with respect to \mathbf{c} .

2. A fundamental Inequality

Main Theorem Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$ be a smooth complex projective variety, $\dim(X) = n$, $\deg(X) = d$. Let $f = (f_0, \dots, f_N) : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow X$ be a Zariski dense holomorphic map. Define, for $z \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$c_j(z) = \log \frac{\|f(z)\|}{|f_j(z)|}, \quad 0 \leq j \leq N,$$

and let $\mathbf{c}(z) = (c_0(z), \dots, c_N(z))$. Then, for every $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\frac{1}{d(n+1)} \int_0^{2\pi} e_X(\mathbf{c}(re^{i\theta})) \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \leq (1 + \epsilon) T_f(r),$$

where $e_X(\mathbf{c})$ is the Chow weight of X with respect to \mathbf{c} .

In the case that X is linear.

In the case that X is **linear**. Then

$$e_X(\mathbf{c}) = \max_{\{i_0, \dots, i_n\} \in \mathcal{I}_X} (c_{i_0} + \dots + c_{i_n}),$$

In the case that X is **linear**. Then

$$e_X(\mathbf{c}) = \max_{\{i_0, \dots, i_n\} \in \mathcal{I}_X} (c_{i_0} + \dots + c_{i_n}),$$

where $\mathcal{I}_X \subset \{0, \dots, N\}$, with $\#\mathcal{I}_X = n + 1$, such that $\{x_i, i \in \mathcal{I}_X\}$ are linearly independent on X .

In the case that X is **linear**. Then

$$e_X(\mathbf{c}) = \max_{\{i_0, \dots, i_n\} \in \mathcal{I}_X} (c_{i_0} + \dots + c_{i_n}),$$

where $\mathcal{I}_X \subset \{0, \dots, N\}$, with $\#\mathcal{I}_X = n + 1$, such that $\{x_i, i \in \mathcal{I}_X\}$ are linearly independent on X . So Cartan's Theorem above is the **special case** of the Main Theorem when $X = \mathbb{P}^n$.

In the case that X is **linear**. Then

$$e_X(\mathbf{c}) = \max_{\{i_0, \dots, i_n\} \in \mathcal{I}_X} (c_{i_0} + \dots + c_{i_n}),$$

where $\mathcal{I}_X \subset \{0, \dots, N\}$, with $\#\mathcal{I}_X = n + 1$, such that $\{x_i, i \in \mathcal{I}_X\}$ are linearly independent on X . So Cartan's Theorem above is the **special case** of the Main Theorem when $X = \mathbb{P}^n$.

3. Chow form and Chow weight

3. Chow form and Chow weight

- Chow form (polynomial):

3. Chow form and Chow weight

- **Chow form (polynomial):** Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$ be a projective variety, $\dim X = n$, $\deg X = d$.

3. Chow form and Chow weight

- **Chow form (polynomial):** Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$ be a projective variety, $\dim X = n$, $\deg X = d$. To X , we can associate, up to a constant scalar, a unique polynomial (in $n + 1$ blocks of variables

3. Chow form and Chow weight

- **Chow form (polynomial):** Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$ be a projective variety, $\dim X = n$, $\deg X = d$. To X , we can associate, up to a constant scalar, a unique polynomial (in $n + 1$ blocks of variables $\mathbf{u}_i = (u_{i0}, \dots, u_{iN}), 0 \leq i \leq n$),

$$F_X(\mathbf{u}_0, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n) = F_X(u_{00}, \dots, u_{0N}; \dots; u_{n0}, \dots, u_{nN})$$

3. Chow form and Chow weight

- **Chow form (polynomial):** Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$ be a projective variety, $\dim X = n$, $\deg X = d$. To X , we can associate, up to a constant scalar, a unique polynomial (in $n + 1$ blocks of variables $\mathbf{u}_i = (u_{i0}, \dots, u_{iN}), 0 \leq i \leq n$),

$$F_X(\mathbf{u}_0, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n) = F_X(u_{00}, \dots, u_{0N}; \dots; u_{n0}, \dots, u_{nN})$$

which is called the **Chow form** of X ,

3. Chow form and Chow weight

- **Chow form (polynomial):** Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$ be a projective variety, $\dim X = n$, $\deg X = d$. To X , we can associate, up to a constant scalar, a unique polynomial (in $n + 1$ blocks of variables $\mathbf{u}_i = (u_{i0}, \dots, u_{iN}), 0 \leq i \leq n$),

$$F_X(\mathbf{u}_0, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n) = F_X(u_{00}, \dots, u_{0N}; \dots; u_{n0}, \dots, u_{nN})$$

which is called the **Chow form** of X , with the following properties:

3. Chow form and Chow weight

- **Chow form (polynomial):** Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$ be a projective variety, $\dim X = n$, $\deg X = d$. To X , we can associate, up to a constant scalar, a unique polynomial (in $n + 1$ blocks of variables $\mathbf{u}_i = (u_{i0}, \dots, u_{iN}), 0 \leq i \leq n$),

$$F_X(\mathbf{u}_0, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n) = F_X(u_{00}, \dots, u_{0N}; \dots; u_{n0}, \dots, u_{nN})$$

which is called the **Chow form** of X , with the following properties: F_X is irreducible in $\mathbb{C}[u_{00}, \dots, u_{nN}]$;

3. Chow form and Chow weight

- **Chow form (polynomial):** Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$ be a projective variety, $\dim X = n$, $\deg X = d$. To X , we can associate, up to a constant scalar, a unique polynomial (in $n + 1$ blocks of variables $\mathbf{u}_i = (u_{i0}, \dots, u_{iN}), 0 \leq i \leq n$),

$$F_X(\mathbf{u}_0, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n) = F_X(u_{00}, \dots, u_{0N}; \dots; u_{n0}, \dots, u_{nN})$$

which is called the **Chow form** of X , with the following properties: F_X is irreducible in $\mathbb{C}[u_{00}, \dots, u_{nN}]$; F_X is homogeneous of degree d in each block $\mathbf{u}_i, i = 0, \dots, n$;

3. Chow form and Chow weight

- **Chow form (polynomial):** Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$ be a projective variety, $\dim X = n$, $\deg X = d$. To X , we can associate, up to a constant scalar, a unique polynomial (in $n + 1$ blocks of variables $\mathbf{u}_i = (u_{i0}, \dots, u_{iN}), 0 \leq i \leq n$),

$$F_X(\mathbf{u}_0, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n) = F_X(u_{00}, \dots, u_{0N}; \dots; u_{n0}, \dots, u_{nN})$$

which is called the **Chow form** of X , with the following properties: F_X is irreducible in $\mathbb{C}[u_{00}, \dots, u_{nN}]$; F_X is homogeneous of degree d in each block $\mathbf{u}_i, i = 0, \dots, n$; and $F_X(\mathbf{u}_0, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n) = 0$ if and only if $X \cap H_{\mathbf{u}_0} \cap \dots \cap H_{\mathbf{u}_n} \neq \emptyset$, where $H_{\mathbf{u}_i}, i = 0, \dots, n$, are the hyperplanes given by $\mathbf{u}_i \cdot x = 0$.

3. Chow form and Chow weight

- **Chow form (polynomial):** Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$ be a projective variety, $\dim X = n$, $\deg X = d$. To X , we can associate, up to a constant scalar, a unique polynomial (in $n + 1$ blocks of variables $\mathbf{u}_i = (u_{i0}, \dots, u_{iN}), 0 \leq i \leq n$),

$$F_X(\mathbf{u}_0, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n) = F_X(u_{00}, \dots, u_{0N}; \dots; u_{n0}, \dots, u_{nN})$$

which is called the **Chow form** of X , with the following properties: F_X is irreducible in $\mathbb{C}[u_{00}, \dots, u_{nN}]$; F_X is homogeneous of degree d in each block $\mathbf{u}_i, i = 0, \dots, n$; and $F_X(\mathbf{u}_0, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n) = 0$ if and only if $X \cap H_{\mathbf{u}_0} \cap \dots \cap H_{\mathbf{u}_n} \neq \emptyset$, where $H_{\mathbf{u}_i}, i = 0, \dots, n$, are the hyperplanes given by $\mathbf{u}_i \cdot x = 0$.

F_X can be constructed as follows:

F_X can be constructed as follows: Consider

$$\Phi_X = \{(\mathbf{u}_0, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n, x), \quad x \in X, \mathbf{u}_i \cdot x = 0, 0 \leq i \leq n\}.$$

F_X can be constructed as follows: Consider

$$\Phi_X = \{(\mathbf{u}_0, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n, x), \quad x \in X, \mathbf{u}_i \cdot x = 0, 0 \leq i \leq n\}.$$

Let $\pi : (\mathbb{P}^N)^{n+1} \times \mathbb{P}^N \rightarrow (\mathbb{P}^N)^{n+1}$ be the canonical projection.

F_X can be constructed as follows: Consider

$$\Phi_X = \{(\mathbf{u}_0, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n, x), \quad x \in X, \mathbf{u}_i \cdot x = 0, 0 \leq i \leq n\}.$$

Let $\pi : (\mathbb{P}^N)^{n+1} \times \mathbb{P}^N \rightarrow (\mathbb{P}^N)^{n+1}$ be the canonical projection. It can be shown that $\overline{\pi(\Phi_X)} = \pi(\Phi_X)$.

F_X can be constructed as follows: Consider

$$\Phi_X = \{(\mathbf{u}_0, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n, x), \quad x \in X, \mathbf{u}_i \cdot x = 0, 0 \leq i \leq n\}.$$

Let $\pi : (\mathbb{P}^N)^{n+1} \times \mathbb{P}^N \rightarrow (\mathbb{P}^N)^{n+1}$ be the canonical projection. It can be shown that $\overline{\pi(\Phi_X)} = \pi(\Phi_X)$. Hence $\pi(\Phi_X) \subset (\mathbb{P}^N)^{n+1}$ is a closed hypersurface.

F_X can be constructed as follows: Consider

$$\Phi_X = \{(\mathbf{u}_0, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n, x), \quad x \in X, \mathbf{u}_i \cdot x = 0, 0 \leq i \leq n\}.$$

Let $\pi : (\mathbb{P}^N)^{n+1} \times \mathbb{P}^N \rightarrow (\mathbb{P}^N)^{n+1}$ be the canonical projection. It can be shown that $\overline{\pi(\Phi_X)} = \pi(\Phi_X)$. Hence $\pi(\Phi_X) \subset (\mathbb{P}^N)^{n+1}$ is a closed hypersurface. We define F_X to be the defining polynomial.

F_X can be constructed as follows: Consider

$$\Phi_X = \{(\mathbf{u}_0, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n, x), \quad x \in X, \mathbf{u}_i \cdot x = 0, 0 \leq i \leq n\}.$$

Let $\pi : (\mathbb{P}^N)^{n+1} \times \mathbb{P}^N \rightarrow (\mathbb{P}^N)^{n+1}$ be the canonical projection. It can be shown that $\overline{\pi(\Phi_X)} = \pi(\Phi_X)$. Hence $\pi(\Phi_X) \subset (\mathbb{P}^N)^{n+1}$ is a closed hypersurface. We define F_X to be the defining polynomial.

- Bracket Expression of F_X :

F_X can be constructed as follows: Consider

$$\Phi_X = \{(\mathbf{u}_0, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n, x), \quad x \in X, \mathbf{u}_i \cdot x = 0, 0 \leq i \leq n\}.$$

Let $\pi : (\mathbb{P}^N)^{n+1} \times \mathbb{P}^N \rightarrow (\mathbb{P}^N)^{n+1}$ be the canonical projection. It can be shown that $\overline{\pi(\Phi_X)} = \pi(\Phi_X)$. Hence $\pi(\Phi_X) \subset (\mathbb{P}^N)^{n+1}$ is a closed hypersurface. We define F_X to be the defining polynomial.

- **Bracket Expression of F_X :** For $I = \{k_0, \dots, k_n\} \subset \{0, \dots, N\}$ with $k_0 < k_1 < \dots < k_n$,

F_X can be constructed as follows: Consider

$$\Phi_X = \{(\mathbf{u}_0, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n, x), \quad x \in X, \mathbf{u}_i \cdot x = 0, 0 \leq i \leq n\}.$$

Let $\pi : (\mathbb{P}^N)^{n+1} \times \mathbb{P}^N \rightarrow (\mathbb{P}^N)^{n+1}$ be the canonical projection. It can be shown that $\overline{\pi(\Phi_X)} = \pi(\Phi_X)$. Hence $\pi(\Phi_X) \subset (\mathbb{P}^N)^{n+1}$ is a closed hypersurface. We define F_X to be the defining polynomial.

- **Bracket Expression of F_X :** For $I = \{k_0, \dots, k_n\} \subset \{0, \dots, N\}$ with $k_0 < k_1 < \dots < k_n$, we define the **bracket**

$$[I] = [I](\mathbf{u}_0, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n) := \det(u_{ik_j})_{i,j=0,\dots,n},$$

F_X can be constructed as follows: Consider

$$\Phi_X = \{(\mathbf{u}_0, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n, x), \quad x \in X, \mathbf{u}_i \cdot x = 0, 0 \leq i \leq n\}.$$

Let $\pi : (\mathbb{P}^N)^{n+1} \times \mathbb{P}^N \rightarrow (\mathbb{P}^N)^{n+1}$ be the canonical projection. It can be shown that $\overline{\pi(\Phi_X)} = \pi(\Phi_X)$. Hence $\pi(\Phi_X) \subset (\mathbb{P}^N)^{n+1}$ is a closed hypersurface. We define F_X to be the defining polynomial.

- **Bracket Expression of F_X :** For $I = \{k_0, \dots, k_n\} \subset \{0, \dots, N\}$ with $k_0 < k_1 < \dots < k_n$, we define the **bracket**

$$[I] = [I](\mathbf{u}_0, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n) := \det(u_{ik_j})_{i,j=0,\dots,n},$$

where $\mathbf{u}_i = (u_{i0}, \dots, u_{iN})$.

F_X can be constructed as follows: Consider

$$\Phi_X = \{(\mathbf{u}_0, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n, x), \quad x \in X, \mathbf{u}_i \cdot x = 0, 0 \leq i \leq n\}.$$

Let $\pi : (\mathbb{P}^N)^{n+1} \times \mathbb{P}^N \rightarrow (\mathbb{P}^N)^{n+1}$ be the canonical projection. It can be shown that $\overline{\pi(\Phi_X)} = \pi(\Phi_X)$. Hence $\pi(\Phi_X) \subset (\mathbb{P}^N)^{n+1}$ is a closed hypersurface. We define F_X to be the defining polynomial.

- **Bracket Expression of F_X :** For $I = \{k_0, \dots, k_n\} \subset \{0, \dots, N\}$ with $k_0 < k_1 < \dots < k_n$, we define the **bracket**

$$[I] = [I](\mathbf{u}_0, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n) := \det(u_{ik_j})_{i,j=0,\dots,n},$$

where $\mathbf{u}_i = (u_{i0}, \dots, u_{iN})$. Let I_1, \dots, I_β ,

$\beta = (N + n + 2)! / (N + 1)!(n + 1)!$, be all subsets of $\{0, \dots, N\}$ of cardinality $n + 1$.

$\beta = (N + n + 2)!/(N + 1)!(n + 1)!$, be all subsets of $\{0, \dots, N\}$ of cardinality $n + 1$. Then,

$$F_X = \sum_{a_1 + \dots + a_\beta = d} C(a_1, \dots, a_\beta) [I_1]^{a_1} \dots [I_\beta]^{a_\beta}.$$

$\beta = (N + n + 2)!/(N + 1)!(n + 1)!$, be all subsets of $\{0, \dots, N\}$ of cardinality $n + 1$. Then,

$$F_X = \sum_{a_1 + \dots + a_\beta = d} C(a_1, \dots, a_\beta) [I_1]^{a_1} \dots [I_\beta]^{a_\beta}.$$

- Two special cases:

$\beta = (N + n + 2)! / (N + 1)!(n + 1)!$, be all subsets of $\{0, \dots, N\}$ of cardinality $n + 1$. Then,

$$F_X = \sum_{a_1 + \dots + a_\beta = d} C(a_1, \dots, a_\beta) [I_1]^{a_1} \dots [I_\beta]^{a_\beta}.$$

- Two special cases:

(1) $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ is a linear variety, i.e. $d = 1$.

$\beta = (N + n + 2)! / (N + 1)!(n + 1)!$, be all subsets of $\{0, \dots, N\}$ of cardinality $n + 1$. Then,

$$F_X = \sum_{a_1 + \dots + a_\beta = d} C(a_1, \dots, a_\beta) [I_1]^{a_1} \dots [I_\beta]^{a_\beta}.$$

- Two special cases:

(1) $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ is a linear variety, i.e. $d = 1$. Then

$$F_X = \sum_{I \in \mathcal{I}_X} C(I) [I],$$

$\beta = (N + n + 2)! / (N + 1)!(n + 1)!$, be all subsets of $\{0, \dots, N\}$ of cardinality $n + 1$. Then,

$$F_X = \sum_{a_1 + \dots + a_\beta = d} C(a_1, \dots, a_\beta) [I_1]^{a_1} \dots [I_\beta]^{a_\beta}.$$

- Two special cases:

(1) $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ is a linear variety, i.e. $d = 1$. Then

$$F_X = \sum_{I \in \mathcal{I}_X} C(I) [I],$$

i.e. we write X in terms of **Plucker coordinates**.

$\beta = (N + n + 2)! / (N + 1)!(n + 1)!$, be all subsets of $\{0, \dots, N\}$ of cardinality $n + 1$. Then,

$$F_X = \sum_{a_1 + \dots + a_\beta = d} C(a_1, \dots, a_\beta) [I_1]^{a_1} \dots [I_\beta]^{a_\beta}.$$

- Two special cases:

(1) $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ is a linear variety, i.e. $d = 1$. Then

$$F_X = \sum_{I \in \mathcal{I}_X} C(I) [I],$$

i.e. we write X in terms of **Plucker coordinates**.

(2) X is a hypersurface defined by $f = 0$.

(2) X is a hypersurface defined by $f = 0$. Then

$$F_X = f([1 \ 2 \ \cdots \ N], -[0 \ 2 \ \cdots \ N], \cdots, (-1)^{N-1}[0 \ 1 \ \cdots \ N-1]),$$

i.e we replace z_j with $(-1)^j[0 \ 1 \ \cdots \ j-1 \ j+1 \ \cdots \ N]$.

(2) X is a hypersurface defined by $f = 0$. Then

$$F_X = f([1 \ 2 \ \cdots \ N], -[0 \ 2 \ \cdots \ N], \cdots, (-1)^{N-1}[0 \ 1 \ \cdots \ N-1]),$$

i.e we replace z_j with $(-1)^j[0 \ 1 \ \cdots \ j-1 \ j+1 \ \cdots \ N]$.

The general Chow form F_X is just a clever combination of these two special cases.

(2) X is a hypersurface defined by $f = 0$. Then

$$F_X = f([1 \ 2 \ \cdots \ N], -[0 \ 2 \ \cdots \ N], \cdots, (-1)^{N-1}[0 \ 1 \ \cdots \ N-1]),$$

i.e we replace z_j with $(-1)^j[0 \ 1 \ \cdots \ j-1 \ j+1 \ \cdots \ N]$.

The general Chow form F_X is just a clever combination of these two special cases.

- Chow Weights:

- **Chow Weights:** Let $\mathbf{c} = (c_0, \dots, c_N) \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^{N+1}$.

- **Chow Weights:** Let $\mathbf{c} = (c_0, \dots, c_N) \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^{N+1}$. Let t be an auxiliary variable.

- **Chow Weights:** Let $\mathbf{c} = (c_0, \dots, c_N) \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^{N+1}$. Let t be an auxiliary variable. We consider the decomposition

$$F_X(t^{c_0}u_{00}, \dots, t^{c_N}u_{0N}; \dots; t^{c_0}u_{n0}, \dots, t^{c_N}u_{nN}) \\ = t^{e_0}G_0(\mathbf{u}_0, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n) + \dots + t^{e_r}G_r(\mathbf{u}_0, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n),$$

- **Chow Weights:** Let $\mathbf{c} = (c_0, \dots, c_N) \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^{N+1}$. Let t be an auxiliary variable. We consider the decomposition

$$F_X(t^{c_0}u_{00}, \dots, t^{c_N}u_{0N}; \dots; t^{c_0}u_{n0}, \dots, t^{c_N}u_{nN}) \\ = t^{e_0}G_0(\mathbf{u}_0, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n) + \dots + t^{e_r}G_r(\mathbf{u}_0, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n), \text{ with} \\ G_0, \dots, G_r \in \mathbb{C}[u_{00}, \dots, u_{0N}; \dots; u_{n0}, \dots, u_{nN}]$$

- Chow Weights:** Let $\mathbf{c} = (c_0, \dots, c_N) \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^{N+1}$. Let t be an auxiliary variable. We consider the decomposition

$$F_X(t^{c_0}u_{00}, \dots, t^{c_N}u_{0N}; \dots; t^{c_0}u_{n0}, \dots, t^{c_N}u_{nN})$$

$$= t^{e_0}G_0(\mathbf{u}_0, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n) + \dots + t^{e_r}G_r(\mathbf{u}_0, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n), \text{ with}$$

$$G_0, \dots, G_r \in \mathbb{C}[u_{00}, \dots, u_{0N}; \dots; u_{n0}, \dots, u_{nN}] \text{ and}$$

$$e_0 > e_1 > \dots > e_r.$$

- Chow Weights:** Let $\mathbf{c} = (c_0, \dots, c_N) \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^{N+1}$. Let t be an auxiliary variable. We consider the decomposition

$$F_X(t^{c_0}u_{00}, \dots, t^{c_N}u_{0N}; \dots; t^{c_0}u_{n0}, \dots, t^{c_N}u_{nN})$$

$$= t^{e_0}G_0(\mathbf{u}_0, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n) + \dots + t^{e_r}G_r(\mathbf{u}_0, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n), \text{ with}$$

$$G_0, \dots, G_r \in \mathbb{C}[u_{00}, \dots, u_{0N}; \dots; u_{n0}, \dots, u_{nN}] \text{ and}$$

$$e_0 > e_1 > \dots > e_r. \text{ We define the Chow weight of } X \text{ with respect to } \mathbf{c} \text{ by}$$

- Chow Weights:** Let $\mathbf{c} = (c_0, \dots, c_N) \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^{N+1}$. Let t be an auxiliary variable. We consider the decomposition

$$F_X(t^{c_0}u_{00}, \dots, t^{c_N}u_{0N}; \dots; t^{c_0}u_{n0}, \dots, t^{c_N}u_{nN})$$

$$= t^{e_0}G_0(\mathbf{u}_0, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n) + \dots + t^{e_r}G_r(\mathbf{u}_0, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n), \text{ with}$$

$$G_0, \dots, G_r \in \mathbb{C}[u_{00}, \dots, u_{0N}; \dots; u_{n0}, \dots, u_{nN}] \text{ and}$$

$$e_0 > e_1 > \dots > e_r. \text{ We define the Chow weight of } X \text{ with respect to } \mathbf{c} \text{ by}$$

$$e_X(\mathbf{c}) := e_0.$$

- Chow Weights:** Let $\mathbf{c} = (c_0, \dots, c_N) \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^{N+1}$. Let t be an auxiliary variable. We consider the decomposition

$$F_X(t^{c_0}u_{00}, \dots, t^{c_N}u_{0N}; \dots; t^{c_0}u_{n0}, \dots, t^{c_N}u_{nN})$$

$$= t^{e_0}G_0(\mathbf{u}_0, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n) + \dots + t^{e_r}G_r(\mathbf{u}_0, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n), \text{ with}$$

$$G_0, \dots, G_r \in \mathbb{C}[u_{00}, \dots, u_{0N}; \dots; u_{n0}, \dots, u_{nN}] \text{ and}$$

$$e_0 > e_1 > \dots > e_r. \text{ We define the Chow weight of } X \text{ with respect to } \mathbf{c} \text{ by}$$

$$e_X(\mathbf{c}) := e_0.$$

From the bracket expression of F_X , we get

- Chow Weights:** Let $\mathbf{c} = (c_0, \dots, c_N) \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^{N+1}$. Let t be an auxiliary variable. We consider the decomposition

$$F_X(t^{c_0}u_{00}, \dots, t^{c_N}u_{0N}; \dots; t^{c_0}u_{n0}, \dots, t^{c_N}u_{nN})$$

$$= t^{e_0}G_0(\mathbf{u}_0, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n) + \dots + t^{e_r}G_r(\mathbf{u}_0, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n), \text{ with}$$

$$G_0, \dots, G_r \in \mathbb{C}[u_{00}, \dots, u_{0N}; \dots; u_{n0}, \dots, u_{nN}] \text{ and}$$

$$e_0 > e_1 > \dots > e_r. \text{ We define the Chow weight of } X \text{ with respect to } \mathbf{c} \text{ by}$$

$$e_X(\mathbf{c}) := e_0.$$

From the bracket expression of F_X , we get

$$e_X(\mathbf{c}) = \max_{\mathbf{a} \in A} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\beta} a_j \sum_{i \in I_j} c_i \right),$$

where A is the set of tuples of non-negative integers $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_\beta)$ with $a_1 + \dots + a_\beta = d$ and with $C(\mathbf{a}) \neq 0$.

where A is the set of tuples of non-negative integers $a = (a_1, \dots, a_\beta)$ with $a_1 + \dots + a_\beta = d$ and with $C(a) \neq 0$.

- In the case X is linear,

where A is the set of tuples of non-negative integers $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_\beta)$ with $a_1 + \dots + a_\beta = d$ and with $C(\mathbf{a}) \neq 0$.

- In the case X is linear, Then

$$e_X(\mathbf{c}(z)) = \max_{\{i_0, \dots, i_n\} \in \mathcal{I}_X} (c_{i_0}(z) + \dots + c_{i_n}(z)).$$

where A is the set of tuples of non-negative integers $a = (a_1, \dots, a_\beta)$ with $a_1 + \dots + a_\beta = d$ and with $C(a) \neq 0$.

- In the case X is linear, Then

$$e_X(\mathbf{c}(z)) = \max_{\{i_0, \dots, i_n\} \in \mathcal{I}_X} (c_{i_0}(z) + \dots + c_{i_n}(z)).$$

- In the case $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$ is a hypersurface

where A is the set of tuples of non-negative integers $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_\beta)$ with $a_1 + \dots + a_\beta = d$ and with $C(\mathbf{a}) \neq 0$.

- In the case X is linear, Then

$$e_X(\mathbf{c}(z)) = \max_{\{i_0, \dots, i_n\} \in \mathcal{I}_X} (c_{i_0}(z) + \dots + c_{i_n}(z)).$$

- In the case $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$ is a hypersurface defined by

$$f = \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in A} \beta(\mathbf{a}) x_0^{a_0} \cdots x_N^{a_N}$$

where A is a finite set of tuples of non-negative integers $\mathbf{a} = (a_0, \dots, a_N)$ with $a_0 + \dots + a_N = d$, and $\beta(\mathbf{a}) \neq 0$ for all $\mathbf{a} \in A$.

where A is a finite set of tuples of non-negative integers $\mathbf{a} = (a_0, \dots, a_N)$ with $a_0 + \dots + a_N = d$, and $\beta(\mathbf{a}) \neq 0$ for all $\mathbf{a} \in A$. Then we have

where A is a finite set of tuples of non-negative integers $\mathbf{a} = (a_0, \dots, a_N)$ with $a_0 + \dots + a_N = d$, and $\beta(\mathbf{a}) \neq 0$ for all $\mathbf{a} \in A$. Then we have

$$e_X(\mathbf{c}) = \max_{\mathbf{a} \in A} \sum_{j=0}^N a_j \left(\sum_{k=0, k \neq j}^N c_k \right).$$

where A is a finite set of tuples of non-negative integers $\mathbf{a} = (a_0, \dots, a_N)$ with $a_0 + \dots + a_N = d$, and $\beta(\mathbf{a}) \neq 0$ for all $\mathbf{a} \in A$. Then we have

$$e_X(\mathbf{c}) = \max_{\mathbf{a} \in A} \sum_{j=0}^N a_j \left(\sum_{k=0, k \neq j}^N c_k \right).$$

- Case 3:

where A is a finite set of tuples of non-negative integers $\mathbf{a} = (a_0, \dots, a_N)$ with $a_0 + \dots + a_N = d$, and $\beta(\mathbf{a}) \neq 0$ for all $\mathbf{a} \in A$. Then we have

$$e_X(\mathbf{c}) = \max_{\mathbf{a} \in A} \sum_{j=0}^N a_j \left(\sum_{k=0, k \neq j}^N c_k \right).$$

- **Case 3:** Assume that $\{i_0, \dots, i_n\} \subset \{0, \dots, N\}$ be such that

$$X \cap \{x_{i_0} = 0, \dots, x_{i_n} = 0\} = \emptyset.$$

where A is a finite set of tuples of non-negative integers $\mathbf{a} = (a_0, \dots, a_N)$ with $a_0 + \dots + a_N = d$, and $\beta(\mathbf{a}) \neq 0$ for all $\mathbf{a} \in A$. Then we have

$$e_X(\mathbf{c}) = \max_{\mathbf{a} \in A} \sum_{j=0}^N a_j \left(\sum_{k=0, k \neq j}^N c_k \right).$$

- **Case 3:** Assume that $\{i_0, \dots, i_n\} \subset \{0, \dots, N\}$ be such that

$$X \cap \{x_{i_0} = 0, \dots, x_{i_n} = 0\} = \emptyset.$$

Then

$$e_X(\mathbf{c}) \geq (c_{i_0} + \dots + c_{i_n}) \cdot \deg(X).$$

Proof: Recall that from the bracket expression,

$$F_X = \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in A} C(\mathbf{a}) [I_1]^{a_1} \cdots [I_\beta]^{a_\beta},$$

Proof: Recall that from the bracket expression,

$$F_X = \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in A} C(\mathbf{a}) [I_1]^{a_1} \cdots [I_\beta]^{a_\beta},$$

where A is the set of tuples of non-negative integers $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_\beta)$ with $a_1 + \cdots + a_\beta = d$ and with $C(\mathbf{a}) \neq 0$.

Proof: Recall that from the bracket expression,

$$F_X = \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in A} C(\mathbf{a}) [I_1]^{a_1} \cdots [I_\beta]^{a_\beta},$$

where A is the set of tuples of non-negative integers $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_\beta)$ with $a_1 + \cdots + a_\beta = d$ and with $C(\mathbf{a}) \neq 0$. we have that

Proof: Recall that from the bracket expression,

$$F_X = \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in A} C(\mathbf{a}) [I_1]^{a_1} \cdots [I_\beta]^{a_\beta},$$

where A is the set of tuples of non-negative integers $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_\beta)$ with $a_1 + \cdots + a_\beta = d$ and with $C(\mathbf{a}) \neq 0$. we have that

$$e_X(\mathbf{c}) = \max_{\mathbf{a} \in A} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\beta} a_j \sum_{i \in I_j} c_i \right),$$

Proof: Recall that from the bracket expression,

$$F_X = \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in A} C(\mathbf{a}) [I_1]^{a_1} \cdots [I_\beta]^{a_\beta},$$

where A is the set of tuples of non-negative integers $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_\beta)$ with $a_1 + \cdots + a_\beta = d$ and with $C(\mathbf{a}) \neq 0$. we have that

$$e_X(\mathbf{c}) = \max_{\mathbf{a} \in A} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\beta} a_j \sum_{i \in I_j} c_i \right),$$

Put

$\mathbf{e}_1 := (1, 0, \dots, 0)$, $\mathbf{e}_2 := (0, 1, \dots, 0)$, \dots , $\mathbf{e}_N := (0, 0, \dots, 1)$.

Write $\{i_0, \dots, i_n\} =: I_1$.

Proof: Recall that from the bracket expression,

$$F_X = \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in A} C(\mathbf{a}) [I_1]^{a_1} \cdots [I_\beta]^{a_\beta},$$

where A is the set of tuples of non-negative integers $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_\beta)$ with $a_1 + \cdots + a_\beta = d$ and with $C(\mathbf{a}) \neq 0$. we have that

$$e_X(\mathbf{c}) = \max_{\mathbf{a} \in A} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\beta} a_j \sum_{i \in I_j} c_i \right),$$

Put

$\mathbf{e}_1 := (1, 0, \dots, 0)$, $\mathbf{e}_2 := (0, 1, \dots, 0)$, \dots , $\mathbf{e}_N := (0, 0, \dots, 1)$.

Write $\{i_0, \dots, i_n\} =: I_1$. Then, by the assumption, we have

$$F_X(\mathbf{e}_{i_0}, \dots, \mathbf{e}_{i_n}) \neq 0.$$

$F_X(\mathbf{e}_{i_0}, \dots, \mathbf{e}_{i_n}) \neq 0$. Further,

$$[I_1](\mathbf{e}_{i_0}, \dots, \mathbf{e}_{i_n}) = 1, \quad [I](\mathbf{e}_{i_0}, \dots, \mathbf{e}_{i_n}) = 0, \quad \text{for } I \neq I_1.$$

$F_X(\mathbf{e}_{i_0}, \dots, \mathbf{e}_{i_n}) \neq 0$. Further,

$$[I_1](\mathbf{e}_{i_0}, \dots, \mathbf{e}_{i_n}) = 1, \quad [I](\mathbf{e}_{i_0}, \dots, \mathbf{e}_{i_n}) = 0, \quad \text{for } I \neq I_1.$$

Therefore, in the bracket expression of F_X , there is a term $C \cdot [I_1]^d$ with $C \neq 0$,

$F_X(\mathbf{e}_{i_0}, \dots, \mathbf{e}_{i_n}) \neq 0$. Further,

$$[I_1](\mathbf{e}_{i_0}, \dots, \mathbf{e}_{i_n}) = 1, \quad [I](\mathbf{e}_{i_0}, \dots, \mathbf{e}_{i_n}) = 0, \quad \text{for } I \neq I_1.$$

Therefore, in the bracket expression of F_X , there is a term $C \cdot [I_1]^d$ with $C \neq 0$, Hence $e_X(\mathbf{c}) \geq (c_{i_0} + \dots + c_{i_n}) \cdot \deg(X)$.

$F_X(\mathbf{e}_{i_0}, \dots, \mathbf{e}_{i_n}) \neq 0$. Further,

$$[I_1](\mathbf{e}_{i_0}, \dots, \mathbf{e}_{i_n}) = 1, \quad [I](\mathbf{e}_{i_0}, \dots, \mathbf{e}_{i_n}) = 0, \quad \text{for } I \neq I_1.$$

Therefore, in the bracket expression of F_X , there is a term $C \cdot [I_1]^d$ with $C \neq 0$, Hence $e_X(\mathbf{c}) \geq (c_{i_0} + \dots + c_{i_n}) \cdot \deg(X)$.

4. Consequences of the fundamental inequality

4. Consequences of the fundamental inequality

We have shown above that the main inequality implies the General Cartan's theorem.

4. Consequences of the fundamental inequality

We have shown above that the main inequality implies the General Cartan's theorem.

For the second application, we use the fact that if $\{i_0, \dots, i_n\}$ is a subset of $\{0, \dots, N\}$ such that

$$X \cap \{x_{i_0} = 0, \dots, x_{i_n} = 0\} = \emptyset.$$

4. Consequences of the fundamental inequality

We have shown above that the main inequality implies the General Cartan's theorem.

For the second application, we use the fact that if $\{i_0, \dots, i_n\}$ is a subset of $\{0, \dots, N\}$ such that

$$X \cap \{x_{i_0} = 0, \dots, x_{i_n} = 0\} = \emptyset.$$

Then

$$e_X(\mathbf{c}) \geq (c_{i_0} + \dots + c_{i_n}) \deg(X).$$

4. Consequences of the fundamental inequality

We have shown above that the main inequality implies the General Cartan's theorem.

For the second application, we use the fact that if $\{i_0, \dots, i_n\}$ is a subset of $\{0, \dots, N\}$ such that

$$X \cap \{x_{i_0} = 0, \dots, x_{i_n} = 0\} = \emptyset.$$

Then

$$e_X(\mathbf{c}) \geq (c_{i_0} + \dots + c_{i_n}) \deg(X).$$

So we get

4. Consequences of the fundamental inequality

We have shown above that the main inequality implies the General Cartan's theorem.

For the second application, we use the fact that if $\{i_0, \dots, i_n\}$ is a subset of $\{0, \dots, N\}$ such that

$$X \cap \{x_{i_0} = 0, \dots, x_{i_n} = 0\} = \emptyset.$$

Then

$$e_X(\mathbf{c}) \geq (c_{i_0} + \dots + c_{i_n}) \deg(X).$$

So we get

Corollary 2

Corollary 2 Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$ be a smooth complex projective variety of dimension $n \geq 1$.

Corollary 2 Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$ be a smooth complex projective variety of dimension $n \geq 1$. Let $f = (f_0 : \cdots : f_N) : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow X \subset \mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$ be a Zariski dense holomorphic map.

Corollary 2 Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$ be a smooth complex projective variety of dimension $n \geq 1$. Let $f = (f_0 : \cdots : f_N) : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow X \subset \mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$ be a Zariski dense holomorphic map. Assume that, x_0, \dots, x_N are in general position on X .

Corollary 2 Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$ be a smooth complex projective variety of dimension $n \geq 1$. Let $f = (f_0 : \cdots : f_N) : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow X \subset \mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$ be a Zariski dense holomorphic map. Assume that, x_0, \dots, x_N are in general position on X . Then, for every $\epsilon > 0$,

Corollary 2 Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$ be a smooth complex projective variety of dimension $n \geq 1$. Let $f = (f_0 : \cdots : f_N) : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow X \subset \mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$ be a Zariski dense holomorphic map. Assume that, x_0, \dots, x_N are in general position on X . Then, for every $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \max_{i_0, \dots, i_n} \log \prod_{k=0}^n \frac{\|f(re^{i\theta})\|}{|f_{i_k}(re^{i\theta})|} \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \leq (n+1+\epsilon)T_f(r).$$

Corollary 2 Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$ be a smooth complex projective variety of dimension $n \geq 1$. Let $f = (f_0 : \cdots : f_N) : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow X \subset \mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$ be a Zariski dense holomorphic map. Assume that, x_0, \dots, x_N are in general position on X . Then, for every $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \max_{i_0, \dots, i_n} \log \prod_{k=0}^n \frac{\|f(re^{i\theta})\|}{|f_{i_k}(re^{i\theta})|} \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \leq (n+1+\epsilon)T_f(r).$$

This gives

Corollary 2 Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$ be a smooth complex projective variety of dimension $n \geq 1$. Let $f = (f_0 : \cdots : f_N) : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow X \subset \mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$ be a Zariski dense holomorphic map. Assume that, x_0, \dots, x_N are in general position on X . Then, for every $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \max_{i_0, \dots, i_n} \log \prod_{k=0}^n \frac{\|f(re^{i\theta})\|}{|f_{i_k}(re^{i\theta})|} \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \leq (n+1+\epsilon)T_f(r).$$

This gives

Shiffman's conjecture (Min Ru)

Shiffman's conjecture (Min Ru) Let $V \subset \mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$ be a smooth complex projective variety of dimension $n \geq 1$.

Shiffman's conjecture (Min Ru) Let $V \subset \mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$ be a smooth complex projective variety of dimension $n \geq 1$. Let D_1, \dots, D_q be hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$ of degree d_j , located in general position in V .

Shiffman's conjecture (Min Ru) Let $V \subset \mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$ be a smooth complex projective variety of dimension $n \geq 1$. Let D_1, \dots, D_q be hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$ of degree d_j , located in general position in V . Let $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow V$ be a Zariski dense holomorphic map.

Shiffman's conjecture (Min Ru) Let $V \subset \mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$ be a smooth complex projective variety of dimension $n \geq 1$. Let D_1, \dots, D_q be hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$ of degree d_j , located in general position in V . Let $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow V$ be a Zariski dense holomorphic map. Then, for every $\epsilon > 0$,

Shiffman's conjecture (Min Ru) Let $V \subset \mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$ be a smooth complex projective variety of dimension $n \geq 1$. Let D_1, \dots, D_q be hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$ of degree d_j , located in general position in V . Let $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow V$ be a Zariski dense holomorphic map. Then, for every $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\sum_{j=1}^q d_j^{-1} m_f(r, D_j) \leq (n + 1 + \epsilon) T_f(r).$$

Shiffman's conjecture (Min Ru) Let $V \subset \mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$ be a smooth complex projective variety of dimension $n \geq 1$. Let D_1, \dots, D_q be hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$ of degree d_j , located in general position in V . Let $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow V$ be a Zariski dense holomorphic map. Then, for every $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\sum_{j=1}^q d_j^{-1} m_f(r, D_j) \leq (n + 1 + \epsilon) T_f(r).$$

In fact,

Shiffman's conjecture (Min Ru) Let $V \subset \mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$ be a smooth complex projective variety of dimension $n \geq 1$. Let D_1, \dots, D_q be hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$ of degree d_j , located in general position in V . Let $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow V$ be a Zariski dense holomorphic map. Then, for every $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\sum_{j=1}^q d_j^{-1} m_f(r, D_j) \leq (n + 1 + \epsilon) T_f(r).$$

In fact, assume that D_1, \dots, D_q have the same degree of d

Shiffman's conjecture (Min Ru) Let $V \subset \mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$ be a smooth complex projective variety of dimension $n \geq 1$. Let D_1, \dots, D_q be hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$ of degree d_j , located in general position in V . Let $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow V$ be a Zariski dense holomorphic map. Then, for every $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\sum_{j=1}^q d_j^{-1} m_f(r, D_j) \leq (n + 1 + \epsilon) T_f(r).$$

In fact, assume that D_1, \dots, D_q have the same degree of d and let $Q_j, 1 \leq j \leq q$, be the homogeneous polynomials defining D_j .

Shiffman's conjecture (Min Ru) Let $V \subset \mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$ be a smooth complex projective variety of dimension $n \geq 1$. Let D_1, \dots, D_q be hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$ of degree d_j , located in general position in V . Let $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow V$ be a Zariski dense holomorphic map. Then, for every $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\sum_{j=1}^q d_j^{-1} m_f(r, D_j) \leq (n + 1 + \epsilon) T_f(r).$$

In fact, assume that D_1, \dots, D_q have the same degree of d and let $Q_j, 1 \leq j \leq q$, be the homogeneous polynomials defining D_j . Define a map $\phi : \mathbf{x} \in V \mapsto [Q_1(\mathbf{x}) : \dots : Q_q(\mathbf{x})] \in \mathbb{P}^{q-1}(\mathbb{C})$ and let $X = \phi(V)$, and $F = \phi \circ f$. Then Corollary 2 implies the Theorem.

Shiffman's conjecture (Min Ru) Let $V \subset \mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$ be a smooth complex projective variety of dimension $n \geq 1$. Let D_1, \dots, D_q be hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$ of degree d_j , located in general position in V . Let $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow V$ be a Zariski dense holomorphic map. Then, for every $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\sum_{j=1}^q d_j^{-1} m_f(r, D_j) \leq (n + 1 + \epsilon) T_f(r).$$

In fact, assume that D_1, \dots, D_q have the same degree of d and let $Q_j, 1 \leq j \leq q$, be the homogeneous polynomials defining D_j . Define a map $\phi : \mathbf{x} \in V \mapsto [Q_1(\mathbf{x}) : \dots : Q_q(\mathbf{x})] \in \mathbb{P}^{q-1}(\mathbb{C})$ and let $X = \phi(V)$, and $F = \phi \circ f$. Then Corollary 2 implies the Theorem.

To consider the next case,

To consider the next case, let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$ be a hypersurface defined by

$$f = \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in A} \beta(\mathbf{a}) x_0^{a_0} \cdots x_N^{a_N}$$

To consider the next case, let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$ be a hypersurface defined by

$$f = \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in A} \beta(\mathbf{a}) x_0^{a_0} \cdots x_N^{a_N}$$

where A is a finite set of tuples of non-negative integers $\mathbf{a} = (a_0, \dots, a_N)$ with $a_0 + \cdots + a_N = d$, and $\beta(\mathbf{a}) \neq 0$.

To consider the next case, let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$ be a hypersurface defined by

$$f = \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in A} \beta(\mathbf{a}) x_0^{a_0} \cdots x_N^{a_N}$$

where A is a finite set of tuples of non-negative integers $\mathbf{a} = (a_0, \dots, a_N)$ with $a_0 + \cdots + a_N = d$, and $\beta(\mathbf{a}) \neq 0$. Then

$$e_X(\mathbf{c}) = \max_{\mathbf{a} \in A} \sum_{j=0}^N a_j \left(\sum_{k=0, k \neq j}^N c_k \right) = d \sum_{i=0}^N c_i - \min_{\mathbf{a} \in A} (a_0 c_0 + \cdots + a_N c_N).$$

To consider the next case, let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$ be a hypersurface defined by

$$f = \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in A} \beta(\mathbf{a}) x_0^{a_0} \cdots x_N^{a_N}$$

where A is a finite set of tuples of non-negative integers $\mathbf{a} = (a_0, \dots, a_N)$ with $a_0 + \cdots + a_N = d$, and $\beta(\mathbf{a}) \neq 0$. Then

$$e_X(\mathbf{c}) = \max_{\mathbf{a} \in A} \sum_{j=0}^N a_j \left(\sum_{k=0, k \neq j}^N c_k \right) = d \sum_{i=0}^N c_i - \min_{\mathbf{a} \in A} (a_0 c_0 + \cdots + a_N c_N).$$

We now have

To consider the next case, let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$ be a hypersurface defined by

$$f = \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in A} \beta(\mathbf{a}) x_0^{a_0} \cdots x_N^{a_N}$$

where A is a finite set of tuples of non-negative integers $\mathbf{a} = (a_0, \dots, a_N)$ with $a_0 + \cdots + a_N = d$, and $\beta(\mathbf{a}) \neq 0$. Then

$$e_X(\mathbf{c}) = \max_{\mathbf{a} \in A} \sum_{j=0}^N a_j \left(\sum_{k=0, k \neq j}^N c_k \right) = d \sum_{i=0}^N c_i - \min_{\mathbf{a} \in A} (a_0 c_0 + \cdots + a_N c_N).$$

We now have

Corollary 3

Corollary 3 Suppose that X is a hypersurface as above.

Corollary 3 Suppose that X is a hypersurface as above. Let $f = (f_0 : \cdots : f_N) : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow X \subset \mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$ be a Zariski dense holomorphic map.

Corollary 3 Suppose that X is a hypersurface as above. Let $f = (f_0 : \cdots : f_N) : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow X \subset \mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$ be a Zariski dense holomorphic map. Define, for every $z \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$c_j(z) = \log \frac{\|f(z)\|}{|f_j(z)|}, 0 \leq j \leq N,$$

Corollary 3 Suppose that X is a hypersurface as above. Let $f = (f_0 : \cdots : f_N) : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow X \subset \mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$ be a Zariski dense holomorphic map. Define, for every $z \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$c_j(z) = \log \frac{\|f(z)\|}{|f_j(z)|}, 0 \leq j \leq N,$$

and let $\mathbf{c}(z) = (c_0(z), \dots, c_N(z))$.

Corollary 3 Suppose that X is a hypersurface as above. Let $f = (f_0 : \cdots : f_N) : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow X \subset \mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$ be a Zariski dense holomorphic map. Define, for every $z \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$c_j(z) = \log \frac{\|f(z)\|}{|f_j(z)|}, 0 \leq j \leq N,$$

and let $\mathbf{c}(z) = (c_0(z), \dots, c_N(z))$. Denote by $E_j, 0 \leq j \leq N$, the coordinate hyperplanes.

Corollary 3 Suppose that X is a hypersurface as above. Let $f = (f_0 : \cdots : f_N) : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow X \subset \mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$ be a Zariski dense holomorphic map. Define, for every $z \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$c_j(z) = \log \frac{\|f(z)\|}{|f_j(z)|}, 0 \leq j \leq N,$$

and let $\mathbf{c}(z) = (c_0(z), \dots, c_N(z))$. Denote by $E_j, 0 \leq j \leq N$, the coordinate hyperplanes. Then, for every $\epsilon > 0$,

Corollary 3 Suppose that X is a hypersurface as above. Let $f = (f_0 : \cdots : f_N) : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow X \subset \mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$ be a Zariski dense holomorphic map. Define, for every $z \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$c_j(z) = \log \frac{\|f(z)\|}{|f_j(z)|}, 0 \leq j \leq N,$$

and let $\mathbf{c}(z) = (c_0(z), \dots, c_N(z))$. Denote by $E_j, 0 \leq j \leq N$, the coordinate hyperplanes. Then, for every $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\sum_{j=0}^N m_f(r, E_j) - \frac{1}{d} \int_0^{2\pi} \min_{\mathbf{a} \in A} (a_0 c_0(re^{i\theta}) + \cdots + a_N c_N(re^{i\theta})) \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \\ \leq (N + \epsilon) T_f(r).$$

5. The Proof of the Main Theorem

5. The Proof of the Main Theorem

Need to prove

5. The Proof of the Main Theorem

Need to prove for $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow X \subset \mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$,

$$\frac{1}{d(n+1)} \int_0^{2\pi} e_X(\mathbf{c}(re^{i\theta})) \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \leq (1+\epsilon) T_f(r).$$

5. The Proof of the Main Theorem

Need to prove for $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow X \subset \mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$,

$$\frac{1}{d(n+1)} \int_0^{2\pi} e_X(\mathbf{c}(re^{i\theta})) \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \leq (1+\epsilon)T_f(r).$$

For every $\mathbf{a} = (a_0, \dots, a_N) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{N+1}$, $\mathbf{x} = (x_0, \dots, x_N)$, denote by $x^{\mathbf{a}} = x_0^{a_0} \cdots x_N^{a_N}$.

5. The Proof of the Main Theorem

Need to prove for $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow X \subset \mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$,

$$\frac{1}{d(n+1)} \int_0^{2\pi} e_X(\mathbf{c}(re^{i\theta})) \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \leq (1+\epsilon)T_f(r).$$

For every $\mathbf{a} = (a_0, \dots, a_N) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{N+1}$, $\mathbf{x} = (x_0, \dots, x_N)$, denote by $x^{\mathbf{a}} = x_0^{a_0} \cdots x_N^{a_N}$. Let m be a positive integer.

5. The Proof of the Main Theorem

Need to prove for $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow X \subset \mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$,

$$\frac{1}{d(n+1)} \int_0^{2\pi} e_X(\mathbf{c}(re^{i\theta})) \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \leq (1+\epsilon)T_f(r).$$

For every $\mathbf{a} = (a_0, \dots, a_N) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{N+1}$, $\mathbf{x} = (x_0, \dots, x_N)$, denote by $x^{\mathbf{a}} = x_0^{a_0} \cdots x_N^{a_N}$. Let m be a positive integer. Consider the Veronese embedding

$$\phi_m : \mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C}) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{q_m}(\mathbb{C}) : \mathbf{x} \mapsto (x^{\mathbf{a}_0}, \dots, x^{\mathbf{a}_{q_m}})$$

5. The Proof of the Main Theorem

Need to prove for $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow X \subset \mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$,

$$\frac{1}{d(n+1)} \int_0^{2\pi} e_X(\mathbf{c}(re^{i\theta})) \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \leq (1+\epsilon)T_f(r).$$

For every $\mathbf{a} = (a_0, \dots, a_N) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{N+1}$, $\mathbf{x} = (x_0, \dots, x_N)$, denote by $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}} = x_0^{a_0} \cdots x_N^{a_N}$. Let m be a positive integer. Consider the Veronese embedding

$$\phi_m : \mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C}) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{q_m}(\mathbb{C}) : \mathbf{x} \mapsto (\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}_0}, \dots, \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}_{q_m}})$$

where $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}_0}, \dots, \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}_{q_m}}$ are the monomials of degree m . Denote by X_m the smallest linear sub-variety of \mathbb{P}^{q_m} containing $\phi_m(X)$.

Note that a linear form $\sum_{i=0}^{q_m} \gamma_i z_i$ vanishes identically on X_m

Note that a linear form $\sum_{i=0}^{q_m} \gamma_i z_i$ vanishes identically on X_m if and only if $\sum_{i=0}^{q_m} \gamma_i x^{a_i}$, as a polynomial of degree m , vanishes identically on X .

Note that a linear form $\sum_{i=0}^{q_m} \gamma_i z_i$ vanishes identically on X_m if and only if $\sum_{i=0}^{q_m} \gamma_i \mathbf{x}^{a_i}$, as a polynomial of degree m , vanishes identically on X . In other words, there is an isomorphism $\mathbb{C}[x_0, \dots, x_N]_m / (I_X)_m \simeq X_m^\vee : \mathbf{x}^{a_i} \mapsto z_i, \quad i = 0, \dots, q_m,$ where $(I_X)_m := \mathbb{C}[x_0, \dots, x_N]_m \cap I_X$,

Note that a linear form $\sum_{i=0}^{q_m} \gamma_i z_i$ vanishes identically on X_m if and only if $\sum_{i=0}^{q_m} \gamma_i \mathbf{x}^{a_i}$, as a polynomial of degree m , vanishes identically on X . In other words, there is an isomorphism $\mathbb{C}[x_0, \dots, x_N]_m / (I_X)_m \simeq X_m^\vee : \mathbf{x}^{a_i} \mapsto z_i, \quad i = 0, \dots, q_m,$ where $(I_X)_m := \mathbb{C}[x_0, \dots, x_N]_m \cap I_X$, and X_m^\vee is the vector space of linear forms in $\mathbb{C}[z_0, \dots, z_{q_m}]$ modulo the linear forms vanishing identically on X_m .

Note that a linear form $\sum_{i=0}^{q_m} \gamma_i z_i$ vanishes identically on X_m if and only if $\sum_{i=0}^{q_m} \gamma_i \mathbf{x}^{a_i}$, as a polynomial of degree m , vanishes identically on X . In other words, there is an isomorphism $\mathbb{C}[x_0, \dots, x_N]_m / (I_X)_m \simeq X_m^\vee : \mathbf{x}^{a_i} \mapsto z_i, \quad i = 0, \dots, q_m,$ where $(I_X)_m := \mathbb{C}[x_0, \dots, x_N]_m \cap I_X$, and X_m^\vee is the vector space of linear forms in $\mathbb{C}[z_0, \dots, z_{q_m}]$ modulo the linear forms vanishing identically on X_m . Hence $\dim X_m = \dim (\mathbb{C}[x_0, \dots, x_N]_m / (I_X)_m) = H_X(m)$ (m -th Hilbert polynomial of X).

Note that a linear form $\sum_{i=0}^{q_m} \gamma_i z_i$ vanishes identically on X_m if and only if $\sum_{i=0}^{q_m} \gamma_i \mathbf{x}^{a_i}$, as a polynomial of degree m , vanishes identically on X . In other words, there is an isomorphism

$\mathbb{C}[x_0, \dots, x_N]_m / (I_X)_m \simeq X_m^\vee : \mathbf{x}^{a_i} \mapsto z_i, \quad i = 0, \dots, q_m,$ where $(I_X)_m := \mathbb{C}[x_0, \dots, x_N]_m \cap I_X$, and X_m^\vee is the vector space of linear forms in $\mathbb{C}[z_0, \dots, z_{q_m}]$ modulo the linear forms vanishing identically on X_m . Hence

$\dim X_m = \dim (\mathbb{C}[x_0, \dots, x_N]_m / (I_X)_m) = H_X(m)$ (m -th Hilbert polynomial of X). Since X_m is a linear space, there are linear forms $L_0, \dots, L_{q_m} \in \mathbb{C}[w_0, \dots, w_{n_m}]$

Note that a linear form $\sum_{i=0}^{q_m} \gamma_i z_i$ vanishes identically on X_m if and only if $\sum_{i=0}^{q_m} \gamma_i \mathbf{x}^{a_i}$, as a polynomial of degree m , vanishes identically on X . In other words, there is an isomorphism

$\mathbb{C}[x_0, \dots, x_N]_m / (I_X)_m \simeq X_m^\vee : \mathbf{x}^{a_i} \mapsto z_i, \quad i = 0, \dots, q_m,$ where $(I_X)_m := \mathbb{C}[x_0, \dots, x_N]_m \cap I_X$, and X_m^\vee is the vector space of linear forms in $\mathbb{C}[z_0, \dots, z_{q_m}]$ modulo the linear forms vanishing identically on X_m . Hence

$\dim X_m = \dim (\mathbb{C}[x_0, \dots, x_N]_m / (I_X)_m) = H_X(m)$ (m -th Hilbert polynomial of X). Since X_m is a linear space, there are linear forms $L_0, \dots, L_{q_m} \in \mathbb{C}[w_0, \dots, w_{n_m}]$ such that the map $\psi_m : \mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{P}^{n_m}(\mathbb{C}) \mapsto (L_0(\mathbf{w}), \dots, L_{q_m}(\mathbf{w})) \in X_m$ is a linear isomorphism, where $n_m = H_X(m) - 1$.

Note that a linear form $\sum_{i=0}^{q_m} \gamma_i z_i$ vanishes identically on X_m if and only if $\sum_{i=0}^{q_m} \gamma_i \mathbf{x}^{a_i}$, as a polynomial of degree m , vanishes identically on X . In other words, there is an isomorphism

$\mathbb{C}[x_0, \dots, x_N]_m / (I_X)_m \simeq X_m^\vee : \mathbf{x}^{a_i} \mapsto z_i, \quad i = 0, \dots, q_m,$ where $(I_X)_m := \mathbb{C}[x_0, \dots, x_N]_m \cap I_X$, and X_m^\vee is the vector space of linear forms in $\mathbb{C}[z_0, \dots, z_{q_m}]$ modulo the linear forms vanishing identically on X_m . Hence

$\dim X_m = \dim (\mathbb{C}[x_0, \dots, x_N]_m / (I_X)_m) = H_X(m)$ (m -th Hilbert polynomial of X). Since X_m is a linear space, there are linear forms $L_0, \dots, L_{q_m} \in \mathbb{C}[w_0, \dots, w_{n_m}]$ such that the map $\psi_m : \mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{P}^{n_m}(\mathbb{C}) \mapsto (L_0(\mathbf{w}), \dots, L_{q_m}(\mathbf{w})) \in X_m$ is a linear isomorphism, where $n_m = H_X(m) - 1$. Thus we have

Note that a linear form $\sum_{i=0}^{q_m} \gamma_i z_i$ vanishes identically on X_m if and only if $\sum_{i=0}^{q_m} \gamma_i \mathbf{x}^{a_i}$, as a polynomial of degree m , vanishes identically on X . In other words, there is an isomorphism

$\mathbb{C}[x_0, \dots, x_N]_m / (I_X)_m \simeq X_m^\vee : \mathbf{x}^{a_i} \mapsto z_i, \quad i = 0, \dots, q_m,$ where $(I_X)_m := \mathbb{C}[x_0, \dots, x_N]_m \cap I_X$, and X_m^\vee is the vector space of linear forms in $\mathbb{C}[z_0, \dots, z_{q_m}]$ modulo the linear forms vanishing identically on X_m . Hence

$\dim X_m = \dim (\mathbb{C}[x_0, \dots, x_N]_m / (I_X)_m) = H_X(m)$ (**m -th Hilbert polynomial of X**). Since X_m is a linear space, there are linear forms $L_0, \dots, L_{q_m} \in \mathbb{C}[w_0, \dots, w_{n_m}]$ such that the map $\psi_m : \mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{P}^{n_m}(\mathbb{C}) \mapsto (L_0(\mathbf{w}), \dots, L_{q_m}(\mathbf{w})) \in X_m$ is a linear isomorphism, where $n_m = H_X(m) - 1$. Thus we have

$$\mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{f} X \subset \mathbb{P}^N \hookrightarrow^{\phi_m} X_m \cong^{\psi_m^{-1}} \mathbb{P}^{n_m}.$$

We want to apply the (general) H. Cartan's theorem to $F = \psi_m^{-1} \circ \phi_m \circ f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n_m}$ with linear forms L_0, \dots, L_{q_m} on \mathbb{P}^{n_m}

We want to apply the (general) H. Cartan's theorem to $F = \psi_m^{-1} \circ \phi_m \circ f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n_m}$ with linear forms L_0, \dots, L_{q_m} on \mathbb{P}^{n_m} .
 In fact, since $L_i(w) = x^{a_i}$, $i = 0, \dots, q_m$,

We want to apply the (general) H. Cartan's theorem to $F = \psi_m^{-1} \circ \phi_m \circ f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n_m}$ with linear forms L_0, \dots, L_{q_m} on \mathbb{P}^{n_m} .
 In fact, since $L_i(w) = x^{a_i}$, $i = 0, \dots, q_m$, for $J \subset \{0, \dots, q_m\}$ with $\#J = n_m + 1 = \dim(X_m)$,

We want to apply the (general) H. Cartan's theorem to $F = \psi_m^{-1} \circ \phi_m \circ f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n_m}$ with linear forms L_0, \dots, L_{q_m} on \mathbb{P}^{n_m} . In fact, since $L_i(w) = x^{a_i}$, $i = 0, \dots, q_m$, for $J \subset \{0, \dots, q_m\}$ with $\#J = n_m + 1 = \dim(X_m)$, and that $\{x^{a_i} : i \in J\}$ is a basis of $\mathbb{C}[x_0, \dots, x_N]_m / (I_X)_m$,

We want to apply the (general) H. Cartan's theorem to $F = \psi_m^{-1} \circ \phi_m \circ f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n_m}$ with linear forms L_0, \dots, L_{q_m} on \mathbb{P}^{n_m} . In fact, since $L_i(w) = x^{a_i}$, $i = 0, \dots, q_m$, for $J \subset \{0, \dots, q_m\}$ with $\#J = n_m + 1 = \dim(X_m)$, and that $\{x^{a_i} : i \in J\}$ is a basis of $\mathbb{C}[x_0, \dots, x_N]_m / (I_X)_m$, we have

$$\log \prod_{i \in J} \frac{1}{|L_i(F)(z)|} = \log \prod_{i \in J} \frac{1}{|f_0(z)|^{a_{i,0}} \cdots |f_N(z)|^{a_{i,N}}} + O(1).$$

We want to apply the (general) H. Cartan's theorem to $F = \psi_m^{-1} \circ \phi_m \circ f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n_m}$ with linear forms L_0, \dots, L_{q_m} on \mathbb{P}^{n_m} . In fact, since $L_i(w) = x^{a_i}$, $i = 0, \dots, q_m$, for $J \subset \{0, \dots, q_m\}$ with $\#J = n_m + 1 = \dim(X_m)$, and that $\{x^{a_i} : i \in J\}$ is a basis of $\mathbb{C}[x_0, \dots, x_N]_m / (I_X)_m$, we have

$$\log \prod_{i \in J} \frac{1}{|L_i(F)(z)|} = \log \prod_{i \in J} \frac{1}{|f_0(z)|^{a_{i,0}} \cdots |f_N(z)|^{a_{i,N}}} + O(1).$$

Recall that the Hilbert Weight of X w.r.r. the weight c is defined by

We want to apply the (general) H. Cartan's theorem to $F = \psi_m^{-1} \circ \phi_m \circ f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n_m}$ with linear forms L_0, \dots, L_{q_m} on \mathbb{P}^{n_m} . In fact, since $L_i(w) = x^{a_i}$, $i = 0, \dots, q_m$, for $J \subset \{0, \dots, q_m\}$ with $\#J = n_m + 1 = \dim(X_m)$, and that $\{x^{a_i} : i \in J\}$ is a basis of $\mathbb{C}[x_0, \dots, x_N]_m / (I_X)_m$, we have

$$\log \prod_{i \in J} \frac{1}{|L_i(F)(z)|} = \log \prod_{i \in J} \frac{1}{|f_0(z)|^{a_{i,0}} \cdots |f_N(z)|^{a_{i,N}}} + O(1).$$

Recall that the Hilbert Weight of X w.r.r. the weight c is defined by

$$S_X(m, c) = \max \left(\sum_{i=1}^{H_I(m)} a_i \cdot c \right),$$

where the maximum is taken over all sets of monomials $x^{a_1}, \dots, x^{a_{H_I(m)}}$ whose residue classes modulo I_X form a basis of $\mathbb{C}[x_0, \dots, x_N]_m / (I_X)_m$.

where the maximum is taken over all sets of monomials $x^{a_1}, \dots, x^{a_{H_I(m)}}$ whose residue classes modulo I_X form a basis of $\mathbb{C}[x_0, \dots, x_N]_m / (I_X)_m$. Then

where the maximum is taken over all sets of monomials $x^{a_1}, \dots, x^{a_{H_I(m)}}$ whose residue classes modulo I_X form a basis of $\mathbb{C}[x_0, \dots, x_N]_m / (I_X)_m$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \max_J \log \prod_{i \in J} \frac{\|F(x)\| \|L_i\|}{|L_i(F)(z)|} &= S_X(m, c(z)) - m H_X(m) \log \|f(z)\| \\ &+ (n_m + 1) \log \|F(z)\| + O(1), \end{aligned}$$

where the maximum is taken over all sets of monomials $x^{a_1}, \dots, x^{a_{H_I(m)}}$ whose residue classes modulo I_X form a basis of $\mathbb{C}[x_0, \dots, x_N]_m / (I_X)_m$. Then

$$\max_J \log \prod_{i \in J} \frac{\|F(x)\| \|L_i\|}{|L_i(F)(z)|} = S_X(m, \mathbf{c}(z)) - mH_X(m) \log \|f(z)\| \\ + (n_m + 1) \log \|F(z)\| + O(1),$$

Applying the (general) Cartan's theorem, we get

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \frac{1}{mH_X(m)} S_X(m, \mathbf{c}(re^{i\theta})) \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \leq (1 + \epsilon) T_f(r).$$

Now using (modified) Munford's result:

$$\frac{1}{mH_X(m)}S_X(m, \mathbf{c}) \geq \frac{1}{(n+1)d}e_X(\mathbf{c}) - \frac{(2n+1)d}{m} \left(\max_{0 \leq i \leq N} c_i \right),$$

Now using (modified) Munford's result:

$$\frac{1}{mH_X(m)}S_X(m, \mathbf{c}) \geq \frac{1}{(n+1)d}e_X(\mathbf{c}) - \frac{(2n+1)d}{m} \left(\max_{0 \leq i \leq N} c_i \right),$$

we obtain our Main Theorem.